

Silicon nanowires: fabrication and quantitative dimensional characterisation by AFM

Luigi Ribotta* 1) 2), Alexandra Delvallée 4), Eleonora Cara 1) 2) 3), Roberto Bellotti 1), Andrea Giura 1), Ivan De Carlo 1) 6), Matteo Fretto 1), 3) Walter Knulst 5), Richard Koops 5), Bruno Torre 1) 6), Zineb Saghi 7), Luca Boarino 1) 3)

*l.ribotta@inrim.it

This study reports an interlaboratory comparison on the measurements of dimensional parameters of nanowires by AFMs among some European National Metrology **Institutes, since robust methods to measure nanowires is lacking.**

The measurands investigated are NW diameter (measured as top-height) and sidewall roughness (Ra, Rq, Rz, Rsk, Rku parameters), extracted from the top profile along the nanowire length. In fact, both are key parameters to understand if the fabrication process was carried out in a correct way. Moreover, the knowledge of these parameters is **essential to achieve the expected functional characteristic of energy harvesting systems.**

In this work the reproducibility due to different instruments of exactly the same set of nanowires are studied. Measurements show a good agreement, with a combined standard uncertainty of the diameter less than 3%, and well within 5% for Ra and Rq values. Concerning the roughness, no standard or guide exists for assessing the **uncertainty associated with it, so we propose and investigate a new methodology based on Monte-Carlo approach.**

ABSTRACT

Silicon nanowires (NWs) are fabricated by means of nanosphere lithography and metal-assisted chemical etching (MACE) to obtain high aspect ratio nanostructures.

CONCLUSIONS

- This study reports an interlaboratory comparison which describes a method for the dimensional analysis of NW. This is important in order to understand the fabrication process, but also to investigate functional behaviour of nanowires in energy harvesting devices.
- A good agreement between roughness and diameter measurements from different laboratories is shown, and a novel approach for uncertainty estimation of roughness parameters is reported.
- Further information can be found here: Luigi Ribotta et al., AFM interlaboratory comparison for nanodimensional metrology on silicon nanowires, 2024, Meas. Sci. Technol., 35, 105014, DOI 10.1088/1361-6501/ad5e9f

AFM CHARACTERISATION

The 19ENG05 NanoWires project has received funding from the EMPIR programme co-financed by the Participating States and from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme.

on the silicon wafer by spin coating at different rotational speed. (b) Reduction of NSs diameter to 100 nm by argon plasma. (c) Deposition of 20 nm of Au and lift-off of the NSs to obtain the pattern of circular voids on the gold layer. (d) **Metal-Assisted Chemical Etching** to obtain the porous silicon

Each participant evaluate the uncertainty of NWs top-Laboratory

- nanowires with very high aspect ratio (height > 15 µm), not achievable by dry etching methods.
- (e) Transfer of the nanowires NWs on a solid silicon substrate with markers, where they lay horizontally and their sidewalls can be probed by atomic force microscopy.

Expanded uncertainty

 $(k = 2) U$ (nm)

0.01

0.09

0.05 0.01

0.03

0.01

0.10

 $0.07\,$

 0.01

0.04

Expanded uncertainty of the

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-29641-x

(2) Sample inspection with **optical profilometer**

INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON COMPARISON COMPARISON COMPARISON COMPARISON COMPARISON COMPARISON

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The silicon chip with NWs is installed with carbon tape onto a metallic disk

The NWs fabricated by Metal-Assisted Chemical Etching are deposited onto three different chips. These samples are circulated between 5 different laboratories.

The plots reported the results of different roughness parameters from this intercomparison study. The values reported are mean values for each laboratory, and the error bar represent the standard uncertainty of the mean. The orange line represent the weighted mean.

of the cross-section top height. This because one ਰੰਭ can observe variations in heigths values, due to the deposition of the wires onto the substrate.

For calculating uncertainty of roughness parameters, we generate 10000 profiles according to the following formula: Laboratory Roughness parameter Lab A $P_i = P_0 + u_r \cdot P_0 \cdot N_1 + \delta_{noise} \cdot N_2$ Lab B Lab_C Lab D where P_i is the profile generate at the *i*-th iteration, P_0 is Lab E the original profile, u_r is the relative uncertainty of the Z-Lab A Lab B calibration coefficient (based on the relative standard R_{q} Lab C Lab D Lab E uncertainty of the used step height standard), δ_{noise} is the Original profile Z-noise of the instrument (noise measurement on a very flat and smooth surface), and $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_p}$ are random vectors of size n_p (number of pixel in the profile) extracted from a normal distribution.

Afterwards, for each iteration we evaluate roughness parameters, and then parse the obtained distributions until 95 % coverage is reached, so these represent the expanded uncertainty for each roughness parameter.

