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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Objective:} To investigate the eddy current heating that occurs in metallic biliary stents during magnetic hyperthermia treatments and to assess whether these implants should continue to be an exclusion criterion for potential patients.

\textbf{Methods:} Computer simulations were run on stent heating during the hyperthermia treatment of local pancreatic tumors (5–15 mT fields at 300 kHz for 30 min), considering factors such as wire diameter, type of stent alloy, and field orientation. Maxwell’s equations were solved numerically in a bile duct model, including the secondary field produced by the stents. The heat exchange problem was solved through a modified version of the Pennes’ bioheat equation assuming a temperature dependency of blood perfusion and metabolic heat.

\textbf{Results:} The choice of alloy has a large impact on the stent heating, preferring those having a lower electrical conductivity. Only for low field intensities (5 mT) and for some of the bile duct tissue layers the produced heating can be considered safe. The orientation of the applied field with respect to the stent wires can give rise to the onset of regions with different heating levels depending on the shape that the stent has finally adopted according to the body’s posture. Bile helps to partially dissipate the heat that is generated in the lumen of the bile duct, but not at a sufficient rate.

\textbf{Conclusion:} The safety of patients with pancreatic cancer wearing metallic biliary stents during magnetic hyperthermia treatments cannot be fully assured under the most common treatment parameters.

1. Introduction

Metallic implants undergo eddy current heating under alternating magnetic fields (AMFs), which usually limit the extent to which implanted patients qualify for magnetic hyperthermia treatments to the point of exclusion [1,2]. The proportion of this patient subpopulation is significant, above all considering that older patients are more frequently diagnosed with cancer, and also that palliative treatment for various types of cancer involves the use of implants. For example, from 70 to 90% of pancreatic cancer patients frequently suffer from bile duct blockage by the time they are diagnosed. The most common palliative treatments in those cases entail either surgical by-passing or endoscopic stenting, being the latter the preferred option [3]. Biliary stents relief the distal biliary obstruction due to invasive pancreatic adenocarcinomas, facilitating the drainage of bile into the digestive tract. There are two main types, namely plastic and self-expandable metallic biliary stents (MBS). MBSs have the ability of expanding to a much larger diameter than that of the endoscope – thus increasing the associated patency, i.e., their capacity of remaining open and unobstructed. This fact and a better durability are advantages of MBSs over their plastic counterparts [4]. Nevertheless, they heat up under alternating magnetic fields (AMFs), which increases the risk of implanted patients to suffer from injuries or even burns when submitted to magnetic hyperthermia treatments or any others implying the use of AMFs. The application of pulsed AMFs instead of a continuous application during treatment has been recently proposed as a means to mitigate thermal stress in healthy tissue [5,6]. While the resulting in vitro and in vivo data are promising, the improvement brought about through the use of pulsed fields on the collateral heating of metallic prostheses remains to be studied. In the meantime, passive implantation remains a reason for direct exclusion for prospective patients in magnetic hyperthermia treatments [1,7]. On the basis of a previous electromagnetic model to assess eddy current heating of prostheses in magnetic hyperthermia [8], here we present an original model to account for the peculiarities of MBS under AMFs. Applying this model to a virtual phantom of the bile duct will allow to simulate non-conventional positioning of...
MBS, which is the usual case given the complex orientation of the latter in the pancreatic region and the flexibility of MBS. The influence of the stent wire gauge, presence of bile into the duct, stent material, or field intensity and orientation with respect to the stent major dimension is established. Finally, we discuss the implications of the collateral heating of MBS on both the treatment planning and its performance in pancreatic cancer patients under different conditions complying with the relevant safety rules [9,10].

2. Materials and methods

The bile duct is a tube that connects the gallbladder and the duodenum in the small intestine to transport there the bile, where it performs essential tasks for food digestion [11]. This tube is part of the biliary tree, which starts in the liver. The part of this tree that comes out from the gallbladder is called cystic duct which is joined along with the common hepatic duct into the common bile duct. This goes through the pancreas and joins with the pancreatic duct, ending up in the ampulla of Vater in the duodenum. It is very common to see that the tumor blocks this path in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) patients, avoiding the bile to reach the small intestine [12]. This is clinically shown as jaundice (yellow colored skin) due to the accumulation of bilirubin in the blood, which is a component of the bile.

The bile duct is mainly composed of three layers of tissue. The innermost part is the mucosa layer, joined by the submucosa layer to the outermost layer, which is the muscularis propria [13]. The thickness of these three layers varies along the path of the duct, but, for simplicity, these have been considered with homogeneous thickness throughout the entire length of the virtual phantom of the bile duct we have built for this study.

The human model used for the simulations was Duke from IT’S Foundation virtual family [14,15]. This is a healthy human phantom, therefore, no tumors are present in the model. Also, it lacks from the bile duct geometry, so it was entirely modeled in Blender, an open-source explicit modeling tool [16] following CT scans provided by the Gastrointestinal Tumors Service of the Medical Oncology Service at the University Hospital of Vall d’Hebron for reference. The models of the pancreas, liver, gallbladder, stomach, intestines, and big blood vessels from Duke model were used to limit the space through which the bile duct can run. For this matter, a hollow cylinder was deformed following a Bezier curve that draws the path of the bile duct. This model represents the inner layer of the physiological tube which was duplicated to obtain the rest of tissue layer phantoms by increasing their diameters. The resulting thickness for each layer are 0.33, 0.23, and 0.35 mm, from inner to the outermost, following the thicknesses found in the literature for each layer [13,17–19]. The inner diameter of the duct goes from 5 to 7 mm.

For the stent representation, the open stent model from [20] was used. They developed two different versions of the stent, one that is completely expanded (or opened) and a second one which corresponds to the closed stent. The first one was deformed in Blender to mimic a stent that is not completely expanded due to the pressure that the PDAC produces on the bile duct. The stent length is 13.6 mm, while its diameter ranges from 6 mm to 7.5 mm.

For the human tissues included in the Duke model, the physical properties assigned to each of them were the ones included in the IT’S Foundation database [21]. For the case of the bile duct, the properties corresponding to each layer of tissue were found in [22], except for the values for the connective tissue which are also available in the IT’S Foundation Database [21]. Some of the most common stent alloys in the market have been considered, namely Elgiloy® (Co–Cr–Ni–Fe–Mo–Mn), and both the martensitic and austenitic phases of nitinol (Ni–Ti). The physical properties adopted for this study are summarized in Table 1. Apart from thermoregulation effects (involving the metabolic heat and the blood perfusion in tissues), in the simulations all physical parameters of both the biological tissues and the stents have been considered as independent of temperature.

The simulations were performed in two steps. In the first one, the electromagnetic phenomena induced within the metallic stent model and the surrounding tissues are evaluated by means of an own electromagnetic software derived from the one used in Ref. [8]. This software solves the Maxwell equation in the frequency domain formulated in terms of an electric scalar potential $\phi$ and a magnetic vector potential $A$, that is linked to the field sources. The domain is constituted by the conductive tissues, discretized in voxels, and the differential equations are numerically solved by means of a finite element approach. The chosen voxel size in all simulations was 0.2 mm, which represents a good tradeoff between accuracy and computational time. Dirichlet boundary conditions are applied at the domain boundary, assuming that the induced currents are confined within the considered domain. This approach is applicable in the absence of ferromagnetic materials, which is the case for the metallic alloy considered in this analysis. One of the most complex aspects to deal with in this study is the reduced wire gauge of the MBS. The discretization of the thin metallic wires directly within the voxel dataset of the human.

### Table 1. Relevant physical properties of the bile duct tissues and the stent alloy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Muscularis propria</th>
<th>Submucosa layer</th>
<th>Mucosa layer</th>
<th>Connective tissue</th>
<th>Bile</th>
<th>Nitinol (austenite)</th>
<th>Nitinol (martensite)</th>
<th>Elgiloy®</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electrical conductivity (S/m)</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.511</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (kg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>1027</td>
<td>1088</td>
<td>1027</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>6450</td>
<td>6450</td>
<td>8300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat capacity (J/kg·K)</td>
<td>3421</td>
<td>2372</td>
<td>3690</td>
<td>2372</td>
<td>4037</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity (W/(m·K))</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat transfer rate (ml/min·kg)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat generation rate (W/kg)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>[21]</td>
<td>[21]</td>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
anatomy would be critical, because, in order to get a good geometrical representation of the wires themselves (reducing staircase errors [25] that affects the computation of the induced eddy currents), an extremely fine resolution would be required [25]. To avoid this problem, the filamentary wires of the MBS are modeled as one-dimensional elements constituting an electric grid, where each branch of the circuit is described by an electric circuital element with its own electrical resistance. Figure 1(a) shows the model of the considered structure with the duct and the grid representing the MBS embedded in the correct anatomical position.

In this electric circuit, currents (unknowns) induced in all the branches by the interaction with the magnetic field generated by the source are evaluated, following an approach that is an evolution of the one adopted in [26], starting from the main concepts adopted in the same reference. The induced electromotive forces in the circuit branches are accounted for by line integrals of the magnetic vector potential \( \mathbf{A} \), determining consequently the linked magnetic fluxes. This approach assumes that the current flowing within the wires is confined in the metallic elements; this assumption is reasonable, considering the ratio between the electrical conductivity of the metal and the one of the tissues. The reaction field generated by the induced currents is taken into account, being significant at the increase of the frequency. This is done by computing the magnetic vector potential \( \mathbf{A} \) caused by the current flowing into the circuit branches. This term is summed to the magnetic vector potential \( \mathbf{A} \) of the sources to evaluate the electric scalar potential \( \psi \) in the conductive tissues. The essential governing equations for the electromagnetic problem are summarized in the Appendix, while more details of the computational aspects related to the adopted approach can be found in [27].

After solving the electric circuit associated with the stent, the power dissipated in each wire is computed and distributed into the voxels of the anatomical body crossed by the wire. At the same time, the current density induced in the native tissues is determined through the solver adopted in Ref. [8], including both the source and the reaction field due to the currents induced in the MBS.

Once the power dissipated into the stent and the surrounding tissues has been evaluated, it is possible to proceed with the computation of the time evolution of the temperature increase (\( \Delta T \)) in the relevant tissues for the entire exposure time. The bioheat equation is solved adopting the same approach used in Ref. [8], which includes thermoregulation effects.

The computational domain (Figure 1(b)) was in principle defined by a trial-and-error procedure to guarantee that the temperature increase at the domain boundary was nearly null after 1800 s. This is due to the fact that the stent heating is extremely localized; heat diffusion toward the surrounding tissues is also contained by perfusion and thermoregulation effects. Under this assumption, Dirichlet boundary conditions are assumed at the domain boundary (\( \Delta T = 0 \)), assuming that the domain is sufficiently large to guarantee that the heat does not diffuse toward the external region at the end of the exposure time. This condition is verified at the end of each simulation. In the initial state (before exposure to the magnetic field sources), the temperature increase is assumed to be null, which means the tissues are at the resting state. The local temperature can be finally determined as the sum of the local value of \( \Delta T \) and the local value of the temperature at rest (\( T_0 \)), that is, before exposure.

Simulations have been performed assuming the patients have undergone pre-operative fasting, but for illustrative

![Figure 1.](image-url)
purposes, two conditions have been considered when solving the heat exchange problem: (a) a void bile duct (which could be the case if a severe stricture or stone obstruction is present), hence the internal channel has been assumed to be filled with air, (b) a bile duct completely full of bile in motionless conditions. Since bile flow in humans is about 17 µl/g liver/h amounting to a total of 750 ml a day [28,29], no noticeable changes in heat transfer were expected when considering the bile flow itself. In previous reference clinical studies, Johannsen et al. [7,30] reported on therapy sessions entailing the application of AMFs with a fixed frequency of 100 kHz and a variable field intensity within the 3.1–19 mT range, starting from the lowest end and then gradually increasing as tolerated by each patient. Maier-Hauff et al. [2] used the same conditions, with adjustments as deemed necessary during the sessions. All these trials were conducted following a continuous duty cycle of 60 min, unlike the ongoing NoCanTher clinical study [31], where AMFs with a 5 mT intensity at 300 kHz are used, following a pulsed duty cycle amounting to 10 min on over 15 min treatment. Thus, the chosen conditions in our simulations—magnetic flux density in the duct region ranging from 5 mT to 15 mT at a fixed frequency of 300 kHz, and 30 min continuous duty cycle—are therefore representative of a medium-to-worst-case scenario of relatively large field intensity and frequency, and continuous heating. Representing the most commonly used average dimensions of MBSs [32], the wire diameter of the stent was assumed to be within the 0.1–0.4 mm range. Most of the simulations have been performed using a diameter of 0.3 mm. The impact of the wire diameter on the collateral MBS heating is dealt with in Section 3.3. Finally, in relation to the electrical contact between the individual wires, MBSs have been considered as perfectly braided with no electrical contact losses.

3. Results and discussion

Due to the geometry of MBSs, the heating is localized in the surrounding tissues and ΔT rapidly decreases, moving away from the stent region. An example of the spatial distribution of ΔT induced by the magnetic field oriented along the direction that produces the highest temperature increase (it will be later denoted as \( B_{\text{max}} \)) is plotted in Figure 2 for the full bile duct.

The interpretation of the obtained results in the following sections depends on where the threshold for thermal damage—either reversible or irreversible—is set. Temperatures as low as 42 °C can already induce some thermal damage, but it would require very long exposure times, and the response would be different depending on the type of tissue. As can be seen from the comparison of the results obtained from several dedicated models for blood perfused skin [33], the rate of thermal damage roughly increases three orders of magnitudes every 10 °C in the 40–100 °C temperature range [34]. Whereas this noticeable increase does not translate into a relevant thermal damage at low tissue temperatures, it does within 50–60 °C. It has been demonstrated through ablation studies that instant irreversible thermal damage in the liver occurs at 73.4 °C [35]. In fact, the calculated exposure times required to induce first, second, and third degree burns in blood perfused tissues reveal that, on average, it takes around 24 h to induce a first degree one at 40 °C, about one second or less at 60 °C, and almost no time at 80 °C [34]. These findings may not directly apply to the bile duct and adjacent tissues, but they constitute a first precedent given the very few data on thermal damage of these tissues. The outcomes from *in vivo* studies on irreversible electroporation (IRE) in pigs, where the temperature of the typically employed electrodes reaches values between 44 °C and 50 °C, find no harm induced neither in liver blood vessels nor in the bile ducts [36]. Similarly, several clinical studies on IRE of liver tumors [37,38] confirmed that bile ducts close to the IRE ablation were not significantly affected. The important lack of data on thermal damage of bile duct makes it necessary to resort to references focused on other tissues that may have similar structure and properties, for example blood vessels. A study on ultrasound heating shows that even small vessels in the blood brain barrier can withstand temperatures around 48–49 °C for relatively short exposure times of several minutes, but there is a 50% probability of inducing tissue damage in the form of vascular occlusion and hemorrhage [39]. Finally, it is convenient to recall that 43 °C is chosen for mild hyperthermia treatments, whereas 50 °C is considered ablation.

Considering the facts above and given the moderate perfusion of the bile duct and the bile flow rate, 46 °C has been taken as the threshold for tissue thermal damage in the coming results subsections.

3.1. Effect of the field orientation

The orientation of the incident magnetic field plays a role in determining the induced phenomena in the stent as a consequence of the different coupling. Since the relative position between stent and source cannot be determined *a priori* (depending on the treatment procedure), many possible relative orientations have been explored to find the variability on the resulting heating and determining the worst operative scenario. MBSs also fold to a degree that is difficult to predict because it depends on the internal position of tumors and surrounding organs, which complicates treatment planning. This preliminary analysis was performed assuming a wire diameter of 0.3 mm, and a field amplitude corresponding to 10 mT in the stent region under the two limit scenarios of an empty and a full bile duct.

The set of admissible field directions coincides with a hemisphere (Figure 1), where each direction is identified by a segment OP from the center of the hemisphere (O) to a point on the hemisphere (P). The hemisphere is described in spherical coordinates, in which the latitude \( \psi \) is the angle between OP and its projection on the plane \( z = 0 \), whilst the longitude \( \theta \) is the angle between the projection of OP in the plane \( z = 0 \) and the x-axis. Figure 3 shows the maps of the total power dissipated into the stent, the maximum power density within the stent and the corresponding maximum ΔT reached in the surrounding tissues after 30 min of exposure.
The ratio between the maximum $\Delta T$ obtained with the field directions that maximize and minimize the heating of the stent is found to be around 1.75. Both with and without the bile in the duct, the maximum heating is obtained for a field orientation corresponding to $\psi = 52^\circ$ and $\theta = 130^\circ$ (position identified as $B_{\text{max}}$), which is off the MBS major axis.

It is worth noting that neither the maximum total power nor the maximum peak power density is associated with the direction $B_{\text{max}}$ at which the temperature increase is maximum.

### 3.2. Effect of the duct content

During fasting—which is the reference state for patients under treatment—about 75% of the bile is diverted to the gallbladder, whereas around 25% flows directly to the bile duct toward the duodenum [40]. Thus, considering an empty bile duct would not be entirely realistic in a stented patient, as this could be indicative of gallbladder sludge or stones [41]. Additionally, the gallbladder absorbs a large proportion
of water from the bile, resulting in an increased concentration of bile salts and perhaps introducing significant changes in its physical properties. A different heating is obtained when the bile duct is considered to be empty or full, due to the different thermal properties of the bile with respect to the air (e.g., bile has about twenty times the thermal...
conductivity of air). This effect was studied considering four different field orientations (along the three Cartesian axes and along the direction producing the maximum heating, \( B_{\text{max}} \)), assuming an amplitude of 10 mT and a wire diameter of 0.3 mm. Results are summarized in Table 2, where the \( \Delta T_{\text{max}} \) reached in the different tissues is reported for the different cases. The largest variations in the calculated \( \Delta T_{\text{max}} \) values between the empty or full bile duct cases are seen in the mucosa and submucosa layers—primarily in the first one—which are the closest substructures to the lumen. This observation is consistent with the physical properties of the media involved: it is more difficult to heat or cool down the bile because it has a heat capacity that is four times that of the air, however, bile can move heat much quicker than air by virtue of its almost twenty times higher thermal conductivity of air. Nevertheless, the heat load produced by the MBS during the treatment can be dissipated down to reasonable values so that the possible thermal damage to the bile duct could be acceptable.

### 3.3. Effect of the wire size

The size of the wire has a great impact on the power dissipated in the stent. Indeed, the wire electrical resistance varies, limiting the induced current circulation—and, in principle, the dissipated power—when the diameter is reduced. In Table 3, \( \Delta T_{\text{max}} \) values after a 30-min exposure obtained for the different tissues are reported considering both full and empty duct cases, and the magnetic field oriented along \( B_{\text{max}} \).

From the theoretical point of view, a non-monotonic behavior of the power deposited within the stent with respect to the wire diameter is possible (due to the reaction field produced by the induced currents themselves). However, for the realistic geometry and wire diameters here considered, such a power exhibits a strictly monotonic trend.

This aspect is crucial in treatment planning, as this in itself may imply moving from a wire diameter that entails relatively tolerable \( \Delta T \) to one that may induce irreversible thermal damages even at moderate or low field strengths. For example, going from a wire diameter of 0.1 mm to a 0.2 mm one leads to a still tolerable \( \Delta T_{\text{max}} \) value at 5 mT (Table 3), whereas doing the same at 10 mT could cause irreversible damages to the patient. This effect is even more dramatic for larger wire diameters. The outcomes of these simulations tell us that the wire diameter may not preclude an MBS-bearing patient to undergo magnetic hyperthermia therapy as there are many commercial MBS models with diameters between 0.1 and 0.2 mm that are used to alleviate strictures due to PDAC tumors. Thus, the only limiting parameter would be the field amplitude, or the combination of field frequency and amplitude. \( \Delta T_{\text{max}} \) values for an empty duct show the evident worsening of the heating effect in the case of an obstruction precluding the bile flow, inducing temperature differences close to 20 °C in the mucosa and submucosa tissues for some of the reported field intensities.

A further insight into the implications of the wire size can be obtained by calculating the amount of tissue mass where the produced heating exceeds a certain threshold value of \( \Delta T_{\text{max}} \) for each tissue. Table 4 compiles the calculated results for a threshold \( \Delta T_{\text{lim}} \) (designated as \( \Delta T_{\text{lim}} \)) of 80%. The mass amount over \( \Delta T_{\text{lim}} \) decreases as the as \( \Delta T_{\text{max}} \) increases in each tissue layer of the duct, contrarily to what could be initially expected. This trend reflects the magnitude of the compensation mechanism, in terms of thermoregulation, that occurs depending on the particular \( \Delta T_{\text{max}} \). Through a certain distance within a given tissue volume, high \( \Delta T_{\text{max}} \) values will entail a quicker temperature decrease due to a larger associated perfusion coefficient, therefore the amount of mass with a \( \Delta T_{\text{max}} > \Delta T_{\text{lim}} \) will be lower in percentage.

### 3.4. Effect of the field intensity

The intensity of the applied field does not affect the heating in a straightforward manner due to the thermoregulation...
effects that take place in the tissues. This phenomenon was investigated by varying the field amplitude within the 5–15 mT range. Results are collected in Table 5, where the ΔT_{max} experienced in the different tissues after 30 min of exposure are reported for different field intensities and four field orientations, considering a wire diameter of 0.3 mm.

With very rare exceptions, an average MBS within the studied field range would produce a potentially harmful heat load in a portion of the bile duct tissue layers during the typical treatment time. The quadratic scaling of the deposited power with the field intensity makes ΔT_{max} much more pronounced for increasing intensities, reaching instantaneous tissue ablation extremes in all the field orientations under 15 mT.

To have a quantitative estimation of the tissue volume around the stent involved in the heating, for each exposure scenario, the amount of tissue mass which exceeds the threshold of 3 °C and 6 °C for ΔT after 30 min was evaluated. The choice of these thresholds has been made so they roughly cover temperature values that represent the typical target one for mild hyperthermia (43 °C) and another below for reference (40 °C). Results are collected in Table 6.

### 3.5. Dependence of heating on alloy type

Table 7 shows the influence of the stent material (Elgiloy and Nitinol alloys) on the attained ΔT_{max} at the different tissue layers of the bile duct upon MBS heating during a 30-min magnetic hyperthermia session. ΔT_{max} values obtained for the Ti-6Al-4V alloy, which is widely used in other prostheses due to its excellent corrosion resistance, are shown to illustrate the case of a material with a considerably lower electrical conductivity compared to the others. Elgiloy leads to the same results as with Nitinol (under its austenitic phase) because they have the same electrical conductivity. The different thermal conductivity of these alloys does not play any role for two reasons: (a) their thermal conductivity values are in any case higher with respect

### Table 4. Amount of tissue mass (milligrams) of a given tissue where the heating exceeds 80% of the maximum temperature increase ΔT_{max} found in each tissue (ΔT_{lim} = 0.8ΔT_{max}).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wire diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Mucosa layer</th>
<th>Submucosa layer</th>
<th>Muscularis propria</th>
<th>Connective tissue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are not reported for the cases where ΔT_{max} exceeds 100 °C. For each tissue, the amount of mass was computed extracting the number of voxels whose temperature increase is higher than ΔT_{lim}, the number of voxels defines the volume that is multiplied by the tissue mass density to get the total mass.

### Table 5. Calculated ΔT_{max} (°C) for each of the bile duct tissue layers after 30 min exposure to different field intensities along four different orientations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wire diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Stent power (W)</th>
<th>Mucosa layer</th>
<th>Submucosa layer</th>
<th>Muscularis propria</th>
<th>Connective tissue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>y</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>z</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>B_{max}</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>2.191</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>y</td>
<td>2.159</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>z</td>
<td>2.454</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>B_{max}</td>
<td>2.342</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>45.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>4.930</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>y</td>
<td>4.858</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>z</td>
<td>5.522</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>71.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>B_{max}</td>
<td>5.270</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The duct is full of bile.

### Table 6. Amount of tissue mass (grams) where the heating exceeds 3 °C, 6 °C, and 9 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ΔT_{max} (°C)</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B (mT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>22.1 %</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>21.6 %</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>21.2 %</td>
<td>1.210</td>
<td>2.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>31.6 %</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>31.2 %</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>30.9 %</td>
<td>4.472</td>
<td>9.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>12.3 %</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>11.6 %</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.17 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>22.8 %</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>22.4 %</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>22.1 %</td>
<td>1.475</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
<td>1.54 %</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>1.65 %</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>1.19 %</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.01 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>19.4 %</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>18.9 %</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>18.5 %</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>1.70 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The percentage with respect to the entire tissue mass in the considered duct tract is also reported. Values are not reported for cases where ΔT_{max} exceeds 100 °C. For each tissue, the amount of mass was computed extracting the number of voxels whose ΔT_{max} exceeds the given 3 °C, 6 °C, and 9 °C thresholds; the number of voxels defines the volume that is multiplied by the tissue mass density to obtain the sought total mass.
to those at the tissue level, and (b) the adopted filamentary approach to the MBS model does not take into account the thermal properties of the alloy, since the thermal problem is solved only at the tissue level. The usual choice material for MBS, the superelastic austenitic phase of Nitinol, produces a much lower $\Delta T_{\text{max}}$ than its martensitic counterpart by virtue of its lower electrical conductivity. It is envisioned that, if the disease progression permits, prospective PDAC patients for magnetic hyperthermia should receive MBSs made of the alloy with the lowest possible electrical conductivity.

### 4. Conclusions

The use of MBSs as a mean to relieve obstruction in the biliary tree of locally advanced PDAC patients is currently considered as an exclusion criterion in clinical magnetic hyperthermia, and the obtained data from the here proposed electromagnetic model suggest that it should remain as such in some cases. Nevertheless, there are options to improve the current safety prospects for patients with this type of implants. One is the possibility of expanding the use of nonmetallic biodegradable stents [42] that are already widely available from the major vendors, despite the fact that so far MBSs are still those show-...
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Appendix: Governing electromagnetic equations

The following electromagnetic equation, expressed in terms of the electric scalar potential $U$, is considered within the voxelized domain $X$ (human body):

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla U) = i \omega (A_s + A_r)$$

(A.1)

where $r$ is the electrical conductivity of tissues.

The currents induced in the body are confined within the body itself, leading to the following boundary condition on $\partial X$:

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma \hat{n} U) = i \omega (A_s + A_r) \cdot \hat{n}$$

(A.2)

being $\hat{n}$ the unit vector normal to the boundary $\partial X$.

The unknown current densities $J_w$ flowing in the stent wires are determined approximating the stent wires to an electrical network. The fundamental loop currents of the electrical network were identified through a tree/co-tree decomposition. For the $j$th fundamental loop $I_j$, the following equation holds:

$$\int_{S_j} \sigma^{-1} J_w \cdot dl = -i \omega \oint_{S_j} (A_s + A_r) \cdot dl.$$

(A.3)

where $\sigma$ is the electrical conductivity of the wires.

The solution of (A.1) and (A.3) allows the determination of the power dissipated in each branch of the circuit and consequently the one dissipated in the biological tissues, used as input for the successive thermal problem. Computational details of this approach based on filamentary elements can be found in [7].