

ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI RICERCA METROLOGICA Repository Istituzionale

Rapid and sensitive detection of pyrimethanil residues on pome fruits by Surface Enhanced Raman Scattering

This is the author's submitted version of the contribution published as:

Original

Rapid and sensitive detection of pyrimethanil residues on pome fruits by Surface Enhanced Raman Scattering / Mandrile, L; Giovannozzi, A M; Durbiano, F; Martra, G; Rossi, A M. - In: FOOD CHEMISTRY. -ISSN 0308-8146. - 244:(2018), pp. 16-24-24. [10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.10.003]

Availability: This version is available at: 11696/57293 since: 2021-03-09T19:21:03Z

Publisher: Elsevier

Published DOI:10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.10.003

Terms of use: Visibile a tutti

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

Chemistry

Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Food

Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: FOODCHEM-D-17-01263R1

Title: Rapid and sensitive detection of pyrimethanil residues on pome fruits by surface enhanced Raman scattering

Article Type: Analytical Methods Article

Keywords: pyrimethanil, pome fruits, Surface Enhaced Raman Scattering, Raman Spectroscopy, gold nanoparticles, Partial Least Squares

Corresponding Author: Dr. Andrea Mario Giovannozzi, Ph.D.

Corresponding Author's Institution: INRIM

First Author: Luisa Mandrile

Order of Authors: Luisa Mandrile; Andrea M Giovannozzi, Phd; Francesca Durbiano, Phd; Gianmario Martra, Professor; Andrea M Rossi, Phd

1 2 3	This manuscript represents an updated and extended version of the paper "Surface Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy: A Metrological Tool for Fungicide Detection" Presented at the 2nd IMEKOFOODS Conference "Metrology Promoting
4 5	Objective and Measurable Food Quality & Safety" (Benevento, Italy – 2nd - 5th October 2016), selected for publication in Food Chemistry
6	Rapid and sensitive detection of
7	pyrimethanil residues on pome fruits by
8	surface enhanced Raman scattering
9	L. Mandrile ^{a,b} , A. M. Giovannozzi ^{a,*} , F. Durbiano ^a , G. Martra ^b and
10	A. M. Rossi ^a
11	^a Division of Metrology for Quality of Life, Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca Metrologica, Strada
12	delle Cacce, 91 10135, Torino, Italy
13	^b Department of Chemistry and Interdepartmental Centre NIS, University of Turin, Via Giuria
14	7, 10125, Turin, Italy
15	[*] Corresponding author: Andrea M. Giovannozzi, tel +39 011 3919330; fax +39 011 346384;
16	e-mail <u>a.giovannozzi@inrim.it</u>
17	

18 Abstract

19 Surface Enhanced Raman Scattering (SERS) supported by gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) was 20 applied to detect and quantify residues of pyrimethanil on pome fruits, a widely used 21 fungicide in horticultural species. Spheroidal AuNPs with different size were fabricated and 22 compared in this study. The analytical procedure was set up on a silicon dioxide flat substrate 23 to standardize SERS methodology. A Raman mapping strategy was exploited to increase 24 signal reproducibility and to minimize bias due to different local surface morphologies. 25 Univariate and multivariate regressions were compared for calibration. Multivariate PLS 26 approach demonstrated acceptable repeatability and method stability (RMSECV = 4.79 ppm; RMSEP= 4.31ppm) in the range 0 - 40 mg kg⁻¹, providing higher accuracy and intra-day 27 28 repeatability with a mean percentage error of 18.7 % and 32.8 % for PLS and univariate 29 calibration, respectively. The method here proposed can be reliably applied for PMT detection 30 on pome fruits within the European law limits.

31

32

33

keywords: pyrimethanil, pome fruits, Surface Enhaced Raman Scattering, Raman
spectroscopy, gold nanoparticles, Partial Least Squares

36

37 **1. Introduction**

38 In the last decades food safety incidents have raised public concern about synthetic additives 39 and chemical contaminants in food, the latter of them are mainly agricultural and 40 environmental, chemical adulterants, mycotoxins, and foreign food components (Zheng J, He 41 L, 2014). Agrochemical products such as pesticides and fungicides are normally applied to 42 protect food crops from the pests at various stages of cultivation and during post-harvest 43 storage (Sharma RR, Singh D, Singh R, 2009), but their intensive use can generate residues 44 that may become a potential risk for both public health and environment (Gilden RC, Huffling 45 K, Sattler B, 2010). Pyrimethanil [(PMT), N-(4,6-dimethylpyrimidin-2-yl)-phenylamine] is a 46 fungicide belonging to the anilinopyrimidine class of pesticides which inhibits the secretion of 47 hydrolytic enzymes by the fungi during the infection process, thus stopping penetration and 48 development of the disease [FAO, 2007]. This broad spectrum fungicide is effectively used 49 for the control of gray mould, leaf scab and other postharvest diseases on pome fruits, 50 vegetables and ornamentals (Yu C, Zhou T, Sheng K, Zeng L, Ye C, Yu T, Zheng X, 2013). 51 Even if PMT demonstrated low acute toxicity in mammals, long-term studies showed certain 52 toxicity in mice, rats, dogs and aquatic organisms with potential carcinogenicity. Owing to its 53 toxicity and wide application on horticultural species, PMT has been included as a pesticide 54 by the Commission Directive 2006/74/EC of August 2006 with a maximum residue level of 7 mg kg⁻¹ on pome fruits (Regulation (EC) No 396/2005). Most of the published methods for 55 56 the determination of PMT on fruits, vegetables and other samples are usually based on GC 57 (Amvrazi EG, Tsiropoulos NG, 2009), GC-MS/MS (Raeppel C, Nief M, Fabritius M, 58 Racault L, Appenzeller BM, Millet M, 2011; Rodriguez-Cabo T, Rodriguez I, Ramil M, Cela 59 R, 2011), GC-MS ([Gonzalez-Rodriguez RM, Rial-Otero R, Cancho-Grande B, Simal-60 Gandara J, 2008) LC-MS (Park S et al 2010), HPLC (Zhou Y et al 2011), and LC-MS/MS 61 (Ortelli D, Edder P, Corvi C, 2004). These methods have high accuracy, good reproducibility 62 and provide quantitative determination of PMT according to the EU limits, but they also 63 suffer from inevitable disadvantages such as expensive experimental instruments, trained 64 personnel and time-consuming extraction steps. Therefore, the development of more rapid 65 and user friendly methods for PMT residues detection on horticultural species is highly 66 required.

67 New methodologies based on ELISA (Mercader JV, Esteve-Turrillas FA, Agullo C, Abad-68 Somovilla A, Abad-Fuentes A, 2012) and electrochemical sensors (Garrido JMPJ, Rahemi V, 69 Borges F, Brett CMA, Garrido EMPJ, 2016; Yang J, Wang Q, Zhang M, Zhang S, Zhang L, 70 2015) were recently proposed as interesting alternatives to the traditional analytical methods, 71 providing high selectivity and sensitivity in PMT detection in real samples. However, no 72 studies were made so far concerning the development of a PMT detection tool based on 73 Surface Enhanced Raman Scattering (SERS). Compared with the conventional analytical 74 techniques, Raman spectroscopy allows fast detection times, high selectivity due to the 75 Raman fingerprint of molecules and minimal or no preliminary treatment of the sample. 76 Moreover, the sensitivity of the normal Raman technique can be increased by several orders 77 of magnitude in SERS analysis due to the enhancement of the Raman scattering of molecules 78 absorbed onto, or microscopically close to, a suitable plasmonically active surface, such as 79 roughened nanostructured metal surface, or metal colloids (Schluecker S, 2014). For all these 80 reasons SERS represents a good candidate in food control analysis. Different SERS 81 approaches were already reported on the detection of various classes of pesticides in real-food 82 matrices. In case of solid matrices, homogenization of the peel or surface swab methods were 83 used to recover pesticides from the surface and the detection was subsequently performed 84 using solid surface-based substrates (He L, Chen T, Labuza TP, 2014; Fan Y, Lai K, Rasco

85 BA, Huang Y, 2014). In situ detection of pesticides in fruits was also demonstrated using 86 different types of metal nanoparticles (NPs) (Li FJ et al 2010; Liu B et al 2012) which were 87 spread as "smart dust" over the surface that has to be probed. However, even if these SERS 88 substrates demonstrated to achieve a very high sensitivity in the detection of chemical 89 contaminants, they usually suffer from lack of reproducibility and inconsistent performance 90 when spot-to-spot tests are conducted, leading to problems in the quantification process. 91 Therefore, standardized SERS tool with a good compromise between sensitivity and 92 reproducibility of analysis are needed to provide reliable analytical methods in the detection 93 of food contaminants.

94 In this work we propose a versatile, simple and reproducible procedure to detect, discriminate 95 and quantify residues of pyrimethanil on pome fruits by SERS. We selectively tested 96 spheroidal AuNPs with different dimensions in order to have the highest SERS effect. The 97 analytical procedure was set up on a flat surface as model system to standardize SERS 98 methodology for both qualitative and quantitative analysis. Raman mapping was exploited to 99 increase signal reproducibility from spot to spot analysis and to provide more consistent 100 results. A semi-quantitative in situ detection method on fruit peel was assessed and an 101 accurate method for pyrimethanil quantification on fruit surface was developed and validated.

102

103

104 **2. Material and Methods**

105 2.1 Reagents and materials

106 Hydrogen tetrachloroaurate trihydrate (HAuCl₄ $3H_2O \ge 99\%$), trisodium citrate dihydrate 107 ($\ge 99\%$), were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy). Sodium hydroxyde (NaOH 108 97%), Hydrochloric acid (HCl 37%), Nitric acid (HNO₃ 68%), absolute ethanol (99.99%), 109 acetone (99,99%) and Hydroxylamine Hydrochloride (H₃NO·HCl, 99+%) were obtained by 110 Novachimica (Milano, Italy). Scala® (400 g/l of Pyrimethanil suspension) was purchased 111 from BASF Italia (Volpiano, Italy). All solutions were prepared with Milli-Q quality water 112 (18 M Ω cm). Silicon wafers with a 300 nm of Silicon dioxide layer on top were purchased 113 from Si-Mat (Kaufering, Germany). Apples used for the assays were purchased in a local 114 supermarket in Torino, Italy.

115

116 2.2 Gold nanoparticles preparation

117 All glassware used in the experiment was soaked in agua regia (HCl:HNO₃ 3:1 v/v) and 118 rinsed thoroughly in water and dried with nitrogen prior to use. Spheroidal AuNPs with a 119 diameter of about 30 nm, 40 nm and 55 nm were synthesized according to Frens G, 1973. 120 Briefly, 7 ml, 5 ml and 3.5 ml of a 1% aqueous solution of trisodium citrate were rapidly 121 injected into 500 ml boiling solution of HAuCl₄ (0.01% v/v) for the preparation of 30 nm, 40 122 nm and 55 nm AuNPs, respectively. The mixture was further refluxed for 10 min and then 123 cooled to room temperature under continuous stirring. Larger AuNPs with a diameter of 90 124 nm and 120 nm were obtained via seed-mediated growth of 30 nm and 40 nm AuNPs, 125 respectively, using an optimized growing procedure based on hydroxylamine hydrochloride 126 (Li JF, 2013). In detail, 4 ml of Au seeds suspension were put into a round-bottom flask with 127 53.8 ml of Milli-Q water under continuous stirring and the different solutions were added in 128 the following order: 920 µl of 1% v/v aqueous solution of trisodium citrate (stirring for 3 129 min), 1.4 ml of 10 mM hydroxylamine hydrochloride solution (stirring for 8 min) and 90 µl of 130 10% w/v HAuCl₄ (added dropwise, 1 drop per second). The concentration of 30 nm, 55 nm,

90 nm and 120 nm AuNPs suspensions is 9 10⁻¹¹ mol 1⁻¹, 6 10⁻¹¹ mol 1⁻¹, 8 10⁻¹², mol 1⁻¹, 6 10⁻¹²
¹² mol 1⁻¹ respectively. The suspensions were kept in continuous stirring overnight at room
temperature in the dark before using it.

134 2.3 Gold Nanoparticles Characterization

135 AuNPs characterization was done by UV-Vis absorption measurements and by Scanning 136 Electron Microscopy (SEM) imaging. UV-Vis absorption spectra were collected in the 137 rang400-1100 nm with the Evolution 60s spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). The 138 wavelength resolution is 1 nm. SEM characterization was carried out using a SEM FEI 139 Inspect F in UHV mode with the secondary electrons (SE) detector. Typical settings for the 140 imaging are: 10 kV accelerating voltage, 2.5 electron beam spot (18 pA) or 3.5 spot (30pA), 141 10 mm WD. By imaging the particles using SEM, size and shape of AuNPs were 142 characterized as well as the size distribution of their particles. At least 300 nanoparticles were 143 counted for each sample to estimate the mean diameter and the relative standard deviation of 144 the AuNPs.

145

146 2.4 Preparation of Pyrimethanil standard suspensions and solutions

147 Pyrimethanil solubility in water at room temperature is 0,121 g/l; in case of higher 148 concentration it is dispersed in a stable suspension. Pyrimethanil stock standard suspension 149 was prepared by accurately diluting 2 ml of Scala® (400 g Γ^1 of Pyrimethanil suspension) in 150 100 ml and 200 ml of Milli-Q water, to reach a concentration of 8 g Γ^1 (8 *10³ ppm) and 4 g Γ 151 ¹. Pyrimethanil standard solutions were prepared by subsequent dilutions from the stock 152 suspensions in water to reach the following concentrations: 40 mg Γ^1 , 30 mg Γ^1 , 20 mg Γ^1 , 10 153 mg 1^{-1} , 5 mg 1^{-1} , 1 mg 1^{-1} . These pure pyrimethanil standards were used for AuNPs aggregation 154 test, SERS efficiency test and to set up the analytical procedure.

155

156

157 2.5 AuNPs aggregation test

Aliquots of pyrimethanil standard suspension (400 mg Γ^1) were mixed in a 1:2 ratio with AuNPs stock suspension, mixed with vortex for 3 s and subsequently analyzed by UV-Vis. In these conditions PMT is in high excess with respect to AuNPs and their interaction, if present could not be negligible. Measurements in acidic conditions were performed after adding few drops of 1 M HCl to reach a pH value close to 3. UV-Vis measurements were repeated over four days.

164

165 2.6 SERS Efficiency Test

166 1µl of a 400 mg 1^{-1} pyrimethanil standard suspension was deposited by drop casting on a flat 167 gold surface and let it dry in air for evaporation. 5 depositions were performed on the surface 168 in order to obtain an array of 5 pyrimethanil spots (4 spots for SERS collection and 1 spot for 169 normal Raman reference collection). Each spot was covered with 2 µl of AuNPs for Raman 170 mapping after drying. A reference spot for SERS analysis was also made by covering a PMT 171 spot with 2 µl of water. The concentration of all AuNPs suspension was levelled to have the 172 same exposed surface area (4* 10^{12} nm²), avoiding bias in case of larger NPs.

173

174 2.7 Detection of PMT on pome fruits

Pome fruit samples such as green apples were bought from a local store. The whole fruit was washed with sodium bicarbonate to remove contaminants from the surface and then spiked with different amount of PMT standard suspensions. For the on-peel detection tests, the spiking procedure was set using a manual nebulizer with 100 ml capacity in order to better simulate the in-field pesticide diffusion on fruits. For the accurate contamination of the sample to be used as validation test of the quantitative method, the PMT suspension was deposited using a micro syringe with a 0.1 µl precision.

In situ detection of pyrimethanil was performed by depositing 2 μl of a 10-fold concentrated 183 120 nm AuNPs suspension on the contaminated peel, followed by Raman mapping after the evaporation of the colloids suspension. The 10-fold concentrated 120 nm AuNPs suspension 185 was obtained by centrifugating the AuNPs stock suspension at 600 g for 12 min and subsequently re-suspending in a proper amount of water suspension.

187 For an accurate and precise quantification of the fungicide on the entire surface of the fruit, an 188 extraction procedure was carried out by thoroughly rinsing the contaminated peel with a 189 known amount of distilled water (usually equal to the fruit weight) to recover pyrimethanil 190 from the surface. Three separated rinses using one third of the total washing volume were 191 performed to increase the extraction efficiency. 2 µl of the resulting solution were deposited 192 by drop casting on a silicon dioxide surface and let them dry in air for evaporation. 2 μ l of a 193 10-fold concentrated 120 nm AuNPs suspension were then deposited on the PMT spot and 194 analyzed by Raman mapping after drying. The content of PMT in real samples was 195 determined by SERS according to the analytical procedure reported in 2.9.

196

197 2.8 SERS Measurement

198 SERS spectra were recorded using a Thermo Scientific DXR Raman equipped with a 199 microscope, excitation laser source at 780 nm, a motorized microscope stage sample holder, 200 and a charge-coupled device (CCD) detector. Raman equipment is monthly calibrated through 201 a software-controlled calibration tool which ensures wavelength calibration using multiple 202 neon emission lines, laser frequency calibration using multiple polystyrene Raman peaks, 203 intensity calibration using standardized white light sources. The frequency uncertainty is determined by the grating resolution of 5 cm^{-1} (the grating groove density is 1200). The 204 205 intensity uncertainty was demonstrated to be lower than 5 % using a polystyrene standard. Spectra of samples were collected using a 20x long working distance microscope objective 206 207 (spot size 1.7 µm, N.A. 0.40) with a 10 mW laser power and a spectral range from 150 to 208 3400 cm⁻¹. The acquisition time for each spectrum was 1 second for 5 exposures. A Raman 209 map of about 25 spectra was collected on each PMT-AuNPs spot (about 0.5 mm² area was 210 investigated) and the obtained spectra were averaged for statistical analysis.

211 2.9 *Computational procedure*

212 Geometry optimization of model PMT structures and consequent calculations of vibrational 213 (IR and Raman) spectra were carried out with DFT method using Gaussian 03 program 214 (Gaussian 03, Revision B.05, References cited in http://www.gaussian.com). Full geometry 215 optimizations were carried out without symmetry constraints. The computations were 216 performed with the Lee, Yang and Parr correlation functional (LYP) (Lee C., Yang W, Parr 217 RG, 1998) combined with the Becke's non-local three-parameter hybrid exchange functional, 218 (B3) (Becke AD, 1993). Vibrational information coming from the computational procedure 219 were compared with the experimental Raman spectrum of PMT and the main bands in the 220 spectrum were assigned using the Handbook of Infrared and Raman Spectroscopy (Socrates G 221 "Infrared and Raman Characteristic Group Frequencies: Tables and Charts", Wiley ISBN:
222 978-0-470-09307-8).

223

224 2.10 Quantitative calibration and validation of the method

225 The instrumental linearity was evaluated from a calibration curve calculated with five levels 226 of PMT concentrations in non-spiked fruit extract, representative of the analyzed matrix: 0 mg kg^{-1} , 5 mg kg^{-1} , 10 mg kg^{-1} , 20 mg kg^{-1} , 40 mg kg^{-1} . 2 μ l of each PMT standard solution were 227 228 deposited by drop casting on a silicon dioxide surface and let them dry in air for evaporation. 229 In the calibration procedure of SERS analysis, 2 µl of a 10-fold concentrated 120 nm AuNPs 230 suspension were deposited on the five spots with increasing concentrations of pyrimethanil. 231 After drying, Raman mapping was performed on each spot, as described in 2.8, and all spectra 232 from each map were averaged for statistical analysis. Each measurement was repeated 4 times 233 to test measurement repeatability for a total of 20 calibration standards. A specific AuNPs Raman band at 2130 cm⁻¹ was exploited to normalize the Raman intensity of pyrimethanil 234 235 spectrum, minimizing possible variations due to laser power, focal distance and 236 environmental parameters (temperature, humidity) and to overcame variations of the 237 enhancement effect due to the different ratio between the amount AuNPs and analyte 238 molecules. The uncertainty of pyrimethanil concentrations was also calculated, as 239 recommended by the GUM JCGM 100:2008 and Supplement 1 JCGM 101:2008, in order to 240 meet metrological requirements. The intensity of PMT Raman band at 997 cm⁻¹ was plotted 241 versus pyrimethanil concentration to obtain the calibration curve. The applied fitting 242 procedure was a weighted total least square (WTLS) regression, and was implemented by 243 means of a MATLAB®-based tool for calibration problems that is able to deal with

uncertainty (and correlation) in both the dependent (average intensities) and independent (mass values) variables; the Calibration Curve Computing Software for the evaluation of instrument calibration curves is provided by the Italian national institute for metrological research (INRiM). The linearity in the concentration range considered was estimated by the reduced chi-square value (χ^2). Acceptability criterion to assume the linearity of response is a χ^2 close to 1.

250 Multivariate calibration was performed using Partial Least Square method. PLS regression is 251 based on the maximization of the covariance between variables (spectral frequencies) and 252 response (contaminant concentration) associated to the calibration standards (Wold H, 2006). Seven concentrations of pyrimethanil (0 mg kg⁻¹, 1 mg kg⁻¹, 5 mg kg⁻¹, 10 mg kg⁻¹, 16 mg kg⁻¹ 253 20 mg kg⁻¹, 30 mg kg⁻¹, 40 mg kg⁻¹) were deposited and covered with AuNPs as described 254 255 above. For each standard 4 SERS maps were collected and the mean spectrum of each map 256 was used as calibration standard for a total of 32 calibration standards. PLS calculation was 257 performed using the PLS Toolbox for Matlab ®. PLS regression was applied to mean centered SERS spectra. Spectral frequencies ranging from 180 cm⁻¹ to 2500 cm⁻¹ were 258 considered, excluding the first order of silicon in the range between 500 cm^{-1} and 550 cm^{-1} 259 260 that was not included in the calibration process. The optimal number of PLS latent variables 261 was selected on the basis of the cumulative explained variance (CEV) for each component 262 and the root mean square error in cross validation (RMECV). The validation was performed 263 using a venetian blinds cross validation procedure with 6 cancellation segments at first for 264 method optimization and an external set of four samples for test set final validation.

265

267 Several studies already proposed metallic NPs as useful substrates for pesticides detection but 268 in most of these methods a strong chemical interaction between the colloids and the analyte 269 occurred. This binding affinity normally leads the aggregation of the NPs generating clustered 270 SERS hot spots which are responsible for a huge enhancement of their Raman signals. Unlike 271 it was previously reported, the chemical structure of pyrimethanil does not support selective 272 binding with citrate terminated AuNPs and the enhancement of its Raman signals can be 273 exclusively promoted by the electromagnetic effect, which has to be induced by the proximity 274 of the fungicide on the metallic NPs surface. The chemical interaction of AuNPs with 275 pyrimethanil was initially studied performing AuNPs aggregation test in suspension. 276 Spheroidal AuNPs with different size were fabricated, as reported in the paragraph 2.2, and 277 characterized by SEM and UV-Vis measurements. SEM images of AuNPs with the mean 278 diameter of 30 nm, 55 nm, 90 nm and 120 nm, respectively are shown in figure 1S in 279 supplementary information.

The UV-Vis absorption spectra of these NPs were acquired and they are reported in Figure 2S in supplementary information. As the size of AuNPs increases, the λ_{max} was found out to increase from 530 nm to 580 nm, which agrees with the previous conclusion that the maximum peak wavelength red-shifts as the relative particle size gets bigger (Hong S, Li X, 2013).

The chemical interaction of AuNPs with pyrimethanil was studied by means of a AuNPs aggregation test (Figure 1), as reported in paragraph 2.5. A decreased stability of the colloids in suspension would provoke the aggregation of AuNPs, inducing a shift of the localized surface Plasmon resonance (LSPR) with a consequent variation of the colloidal system color from red to blue, that can be easily monitored by UV-Vis absorption measurements. 290 The stability of the colloidal suspensions was monitored at acidic conditions (pH~3) in which 291 PMT is completely solubilized in water and its interaction with citrate-terminated AuNPs 292 should be maximized (pKa Pyrimethanil = 3.26). As Figure 1 shows, no shift of the LSPR 293 peaks at higher wavelengths was registered over 15 minutes for the 30 nm, 55 nm, 90 nm and 294 120 nm AuNPs colloids after adding PMT (400 mg l⁻¹), meaning that no, or very low, 295 chemical affinity exists between AuNPs and the analyte. No shift of the LSPR peaks was 296 further observed after four days, confirming the long term stability of all these colloidal 297 systems in the presence of PMT (Figure 1).

298

Fig. 1

Since PMT and AuNPs do not interact in a liquid medium, PMT detection has to be conducted in dry condition in order to promote the absorption of the PMT on the AuNPs surface and to maximize the electromagnetic effect in SERS technique.

A comparative SERS efficiency test using spheroidal AuNPs with a diameter of 30 nm, 55 nm, 90 nm and 120 nm was performed to investigate the effect of the nanoparticle size on the Raman enhancement, as it was described in paragraph 2.6. The sum of surface area A of all AuNPs was calculated using equation (1), assuming that all nanoparticles are spherical (Hong S et al 2013)

$$A = 4\pi r^2 n = \frac{6\pi d^2 m_t}{D\pi d^3} = \frac{6m_t}{Dd}$$
(1)

307

308 where *n* is the number of nanoparticles; m_t is the total mass of Au in the suspension; *D* is the 309 density of Au assuming that the density does not change with the size of the nanoparticles; *d* 310 is the diameter of the nanoparticles.

To provide a consistent comparative study, the total surface area of AuNPs with different size was kept the same in SERS efficiency test. The total surface area was normalized to the

14

313 surface area of a 10-fold concentrated 120 nm AuNPs suspension, corresponding to $4*10^{12}$ 314 nm².

Normal Raman spectrum of PMT in solid state is shown in Figure 2. Typical Raman fingerprint of pure PMT exhibits vibrational peaks at 560 cm⁻¹, 610 cm⁻¹, 620 cm⁻¹, 997 cm^{-1} , 1033 cm⁻¹, 1246 cm⁻¹ and 1296 cm⁻¹ whose assignments are reported in Figure 2. Band assignments for PMT molecule were obtained by a combination of a computational procedure with vibrational information from Handbook of Infrared and Raman Spectroscopy, as reported in the section 2.9 of Material and Methods.

321

Fig. 2

322 As Figure 3 shows, SERS spectral features of PMT standard obtained with the all tested 323 AuNPs were consistent with its conventional Raman spectrum. The major characteristic peaks 324 found in the Raman spectrum of PMT (560 cm⁻¹, 610 cm⁻¹, 620 cm⁻¹, 997 cm⁻¹, 1033 cm⁻¹) 325 were clearly visible in their SERS spectral counterparts. However, the relative intensities of 326 characteristic peaks may change, broadening of peaks may occur, and new peaks may show 327 up in the SERS spectra. For example, intensity of the characteristic double peak of PMT at 610 cm^{-1} , 620 cm^{-1} was increased, the peak at 560 cm^{-1} was broadened and a new peak at 328 329 950 cm⁻¹ showed up in SERS spectra compared to its conventional Raman spectrum. These 330 changes were due to the interactions of analyte molecules with the surfaces of gold 331 nanoparticles, in particular related to the orientations of analyte molecules on the substrate 332 surface and the specific functional group(s) of the molecules bound to the substrate (Luo H, 333 Huang Y, Lai K, Rasco AB, Fan Y, 2016).

The SERS response of four different AuNPs colloids was compared with the aim to select the best enhancing system for our scope. As demonstrated in Figure 3, all the AuNPs tested were able to enhance the specific peaks of pyrimethanil in SERS analysis compared to the normal Raman spectroscopy. The peak at 997 cm⁻¹ was used to compare the intensity of the different AuNPs because it exhibits the highest intensity and it is characteristic of the breathing mode of the PMT aromatic ring (Herzberg G, 1988). The analytical enhancement factor (EF) for each size of AuNPs was calculated using (2), where I_{SERS} and I_{NR} are the intensity of the vibrational peak in SERS and normal Raman (NR) measurements, respectively, and C_{NR} and C_{SERS} are the concentration of PMT in NR measurements and the SERS measurements, respectively (Kara S et al. 2016):

$$EF = \frac{I_{SERS}C_{NR}}{1 + I_{NR}C_{SERS}}$$
(2)

Fig. 3

344

345

346 The EF increases together with AuNPs size, the highest value is reached when the 120 nm 347 AuNPs are used as reported in Table 1S in supplementary information. It is known that the 348 local electromagnetic enhancement increases with the increasing particle size (Kelly KL, 349 Coronado E, Zhao LL, Schatz GC, 2003) and as soon as the particles get bigger also the SP 350 band red-shifts, moving closer to the excitation wavelength of the laser (780 nm). This 351 probably explains our observations that the SERS EF generated from AuNPs is maximized 352 when the size of the gold NPs is around 120 nm. Moreover, we can infer that 120 nm AuNPs 353 arrange in a more suitable morphology in terms of roughness and vicinity of NPs on the 354 contaminated surface. Therefore, 120 nm AuNPs were selected and used for the further 355 development of the present methodology.

In order to demonstrate a practical application in the food safety field, green apples were contaminated with PMT trace residues and *in situ* detection of the fungicide on a real sample was initially tested. The surface of a green apple was contaminated by depositing 1 µl of PMT concentrated suspension (40 µg of PMT) on the peel. 0.5 cm² area was covered approximately 16 360 in order to create an ultra-contaminated zone on the peel. Normal Raman mapping was 361 performed on the contaminated area but no or very low signals of PMT were collected on the 362 surface even if the local concentration is 80 μ g cm⁻² (Figure 4a). In order to increase the 363 sensitivity of the technique, a concentrated suspension of 120 nm AuNPs was spread as 364 "smart dust" over the contaminated area and SERS mapping was conducted. As Figure 4b 365 shows, the typical fingerprint of the PMT was registered after AuNPs deposition and its 366 spatial distribution on the surface was easily monitored by the micro-mapping Raman system. 367 A color scale bar from blue to red was associated to the intensity of the specific PMT peak at 368 997 cm⁻¹ and related to the x,y position on the analyzed surface in order to provide a semi-369 quantitative information of the PMT amount on the apple peel.

370

Fig. 4

371 Raman mapping presented in Figure 4 clearly demonstrated that *in situ* detection of PMT on 372 the apple peel only occurs when AuNPs are applied on the surface, while very low or no PMT 373 signals were registered in the contaminated regions without AuNPs. This means that normal 374 Raman technique is not sensitive enough to detect low amounts of PMT on the surface which 375 is further confirmed by an increase of the signal to noise ratio (S/N) from S/N = 1.2 for NR to 376 S/N = 5 for SERS measurements. Therefore, this approach could be easily used to achieve in 377 situ detection of PMT and to discriminate the type of fungicide/pesticide on the fruit surface 378 based on the specificity of the Raman fingerprint. However, this methodology would not be 379 reliable enough to provide a quantitative information on the entire surface of the apple due to 380 fact that only a small portion of the surface is analyzed and the colloids tend to randomly 381 aggregate on such inhomogeneous surfaces, such as fruits peel, leading to a lack of 382 reproducibility when spot-to-spot tests are conducted and when different fruit specimen are 383 compared.

384 In order to develop a quantitative methodology for PMT detection on the entire surface of the 385 fruit, a simple extraction procedure was first carried out to recover pyrimethanil from the 386 surface, as explained in the paragraph 2.7. An external calibration was chosen to set up the analytical procedure. Five concentrations of pyrimethanil $(0, 5, 10, 20, 40 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ were used 387 388 for the calibration curve and deposited by drop casting on a silicon dioxide surface. Silicon 389 dioxide was chosen as model substrate because its roughness does not contribute to the SERS 390 effect, its surface can be easily cleaned by any organic contamination and minimal 391 interference is provided by its Raman peaks. A concentrated suspension of 120 nm AuNPs 392 was deposited on each PMT spot and Raman mapping was applied to scan all the surface and 393 to overcome inhomogeneity problems. An average spectrum of each map was calculated and 394 normalized to the AuNPs peak at 2130 cm⁻¹, which was considered as internal reference to 395 eliminate the matrix effect, environmental parameters (temperature and humidity), 396 instrumental settings (focal distance) and to overcome variations of the enhancement effect 397 due to the different ratio between the amount of AuNPs and analyte molecules at each standard concentration. SERS peaks at 997 cm⁻¹ of PMT standards on silicon dioxide are 398 399 shown in Figure 5a. To obtain the calibration curve, normalized SERS intensities at 997 cm⁻¹ 400 were plotted as a function of PMT concentration. A WTLS regression was used for fitting the 401 data of PMT concentrations and Raman intensities taking into account their associated 402 variance. The uncertainty associated with the concentration values on x axis was calculated by 403 combining together, according to the law of uncertainty propagation, the different sources of 404 uncertainties which affect the solution concentration (B-type contributions due to the purity of 405 the PMT and the volume measurement). The obtained uncertainties are shown, for each point 406 in Figure 5b, as standard uncertainty bars (with coverage factor k = 1) parallel to the x axis. 407 The standard uncertainty associated with y values (reported as y error bars in Figure 5b) was

408 calculated as A-Type uncertainty on the basis of the standard deviation of the intensities at 997 cm⁻¹ within each Raman map. A linear regression was found between the normalized 409 Raman signal at 997 cm⁻¹ and the PMT concentration range between 0 - 40 mg kg⁻¹. The 410 411 forcefulness of the fit was confirmed by the reduced chi-square value (i.e. the sum of the 412 weighted squared residuals normalized by the number of degrees of freedom) which is 413 attested close to 1 in the considered concentration range. It is worthy to mention that le linear 414 correlation between concentration and SERS intensity is not held in wider concentration 415 range, because saturation effects of the SERS saturation effect are observed at higher 416 contaminant concentration. A drastic decreasing of the enhancement factor is registered for 417 more concentrated solutions. The LOD of the method, intended as three times the standard 418 deviation of the blank divided by the calibration curve slope is 4.74 ppm.

419

Fig. 5

420 However, even if the WTLS regression provided good linearity as demonstrated by low χ^2 421 value, the calculated uncertainty for slope and intercept are 14 % and 19 %, respectively. 422 These values are not negligible and they might affect the reliable quantification of PMT on 423 real samples. Therefore, it was decided to test a multivariate approach in order to minimize 424 the random variability associated to a single variable and to consider simultaneously the 425 whole information contained in spectral data. A new calibration method was set up using PLS 426 regression to increase the method stability. The plot of the cumulative variance explained 427 (Figure 6a) and the RMSECV (Figure 6b) versus the number permit to determine a reasonable 428 number of component and to define a proper model complexity. For a number of LVs higher 429 than 8 no meaningful information is added, further LVs explain just experimental noise and 430 random variability A "chemical-shape" is conserved in the loading until LV8 (Figure 3S), 431 then only random variability and experimental noise is carried by further LVs. Useful plots 432 for the model evaluation are reported in supplementary information (Figure 4S, 5S). The 433 method provides an RMSECV (root mean square error in cross validation) of 4.78 mg kg⁻¹ 434 and cumulative explained variance of 87.06 %. The model was than validated with 4 samples 435 contaminated with a nominal PMT concentration of 16 ppm providing an RMSEP of 4.03 mg
436 kg⁻¹. Both calibration and validation samples are shown in Figure 6c.

437

438

Fig. 6

439 In order to compare the performances of the two proposed methods, green apples spiked with 440 PMT at 7 mg kg⁻¹ were analyzed with both methods. The contaminant was recovered from the 441 apple peal following the optimized washing procedure described in section 2.7. A contamination level of 8.65 \pm 4.09 mg kg⁻¹ and 6.89 \pm 3.06 mg kg⁻¹ as an average of 4 442 443 measurements was obtained using the calibration procedures based on WTLS and PLS, 444 respectively. Both values were in agreement with the spiked amount of PMT (7 mg kg⁻¹) on 445 the apple, demonstrating the reliability of both methodologies. However, the mean percentage 446 error (MPE) calculated over 5 repeated measurements was 32.8 % for the univariate 447 calibration and 18.7 % for the PLS. These results confirm that PLS methodology provides 448 higher accuracy and intra-day repeatability than univariate analysis and it is more suitable for 449 PMT detection on pome fruits.

450

451 **4. Conclusions**

452 A sensitive and rapid method to detect, discriminate and quantify residues of the fungicide 453 pyrimethanil on pome fruits was developed by means of AuNPs and Raman spectroscopy. 454 Spheroidal AuNPs with different size were fabricated and tested to determine the highest 455 enhancement factor (EF) for pyrimethanil detection. The analytical procedure was set up on a 456 silicon dioxide flat surface, here proposed as model system, to standardize SERS 457 methodology for both qualitative and quantitative analysis. A Raman mapping strategy was 458 exploited to increase signal reproducibility from spot to spot analysis and to minimize bias 459 due to different local surface morphologies, which historically affects SERS measurements. 460 The optimized methodology was tested on apples providing: i) a semi-quantitative in situ 461 detection of the fungicide on the contaminated fruit surface in case of highly contaminated 462 fruits; ii) a metrological tool for pyrimethanil quantification on the entire surface of pome 463 fruits in accordance with the European law limits. A validation set was used to define the 464 prediction capability of the model and, most of all, to demonstrate that the multivariate 465 approach is much worthy in case of a quantitative calibration of enhanced Raman spectra. In 466 fact, the overall spectral features are better captured and modeled in a multivariate approach 467 rather than in a univariate calibration, which just considers the Raman intensity at one single 468 wavelength, leaving out all other information contained in the spectrum. Even if the present 469 study does not cover the huge variability of natural samples and further studies are required to 470 prove its efficacy on fruits with different surface chemistry and morphology, the method here 471 developed guaranties sensitivity and repeatability levels compliant with the application needs 472 and paves the new way to the calibration of quantitative methods based on SERS for tracing 473 hazardous chemicals on non-wrinkled fruit surfaces.

474 Acknowledgements

The present work has been supported by EMRP project "Q-AIMDS". EMRP in jointlyfounded by EMRP participating countries within EURAMET and the European Union.

477 Part of this work was carried out by NanoFacility Piemonte, INRiM, a laboratory supported478 by Compagnia di San Paolo (Italy).

479

480 **References**

Amvrazi EG, Tsiropoulos NG (2009) Application of single-drop microextraction coupled with gas chromatography for the determination of multiclass pesticides in vegetables with nitrogen phosphorus and electron capture detection. Journal of Chromatography A 1216 (14):2789-2797.

21

- 485 Becke AD (1993) Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange.
 486 Journal of Chemical Physics 98: 5648–5652.
- 487 Commission Directive 2006/74/EC of 21 August 2006 amending Council Directive
 488 91/414/EEC to include dichlorprop-P, metconazole, pyrimethanil and triclopyr as active
 489 substances.
- Evaluation of measurement data Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement,
 JCGM 100:2008 (GUM 1995 with minor corrections)
- 492 Evaluation of measurement data Supplement 1 to the "Guide to the expression of
- 493 uncertainty in measurement" Propagation of distributions using a Monte Carlo method,
- 494 JCGM101:2008,
- 495http://www.bipm.org/utils/common/documents/jcgm/JCGM_101_2008_E.pdf.Accessed49620/02/2017
- 497 FAO/ WHO, Pesticide Residues in Food 2007: Joint FAO-WHO Meeting on Pesticide
- 498 Residues", ftp://ftp.fao.org/docrep/fao/010/a1556e/a1556e00.pdf, last accessed 19-06-2017
- Fan Y, Lai K, Rasco BA, Huang Y (2014) Analyses of phosmet residues in apples withsurface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy. Food Control 37:153-157.
- Frens, G. (1973). Controlled Nucleation for the Regulation of the Particle Size in
 Monodisperse Gold Suspensions. Nature Physical Science 241:20-22.
- 503 Garrido JMPJ, Rahemi V, Borges F, Brett CMA, Garrido EMPJ (2016) Carbon nanotube
- beta-cyclodextrin modified electrode as enhanced sensing platform for the determination offungicide pyrimethanil. Food Control 60:7-11.
- 506 Gaussian 03, Revision B.05, References cited in http://www.gaussian.com>.
- 507 Gilden RC, Huffling K, Sattler B (2010) Pesticides and Health Risks. Jognn-Journal of
- 508 Obstetric Gynecologic and Neonatal Nursing 39 (1):103-110.

- Gonzalez-Rodriguez RM, Rial-Otero R, Cancho-Grande B, Simal-Gandara J (2008)
 Determination of 23 pesticide residues in leafy vegetables using gas chromatography-ion trap
 mass spectrometry and analyte protectants. Journal of Chromatography A 1196:100-109.
- He L, Chen T, Labuza TP (2014) Recovery and quantitative detection of thiabendazole on apples using a surface swab capture method followed by surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy. Food Chemistry 148:42-46.
- 515 Herzberg G (1988) Citation Classic Molecular-Spectra and Molecular-Structure .2. Infrared
- and Raman-Spectra of Polyatomic-Molecules. CC/Eng Tech Appl Scis (13):16-16.
- 517 Hong S, Li X (2013) Optimal Size of Gold Nanoparticles for Surface-Enhanced Raman
 518 Spectroscopy under Different Conditions. Journal of Nanomaterials
 519 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/790323</u>.
- Kara SA, Keffous A, Giovannozzi AM, Rossi AM, Cara E, D'Ortenzi L, Sparnacci K,
 Boarino L, Gabouze N and Soukane S (2016) Fabrication of flexible silicon nanowires by self
 assembled metal assisted chemical etching for surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy. RSC
 Advances 6: 93649–93659.
- Kelly KL, Coronado E, Zhao LL, Schatz GC (2003) The optical properties of metal
 nanoparticles: The influence of size, shape, and dielectric environment. Journal of Physical
 Chemistry B 107 (3):668-677.
- Lee C, Yang W, Parr RG (1998) Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy
 formula into a functional of the electron density. Physical Review B 37: 785–789.
- 529 Li JF, Huang YF, Ding Y, Yang ZL, Li SB, Zhou XS, Fan FR, Zhang W, Zhou ZY, Wu DY,
- 530 Ren B, Wang ZL, Tian ZQ (2010) Shell-isolated nanoparticle-enhanced Raman spectroscopy.
- 531 Nature 464 (7287):392-395.
- Li JF, Tian XD, Li SB, Anema JR, Yang ZL, Ding Y, Wu YF, Zeng YM, Chen QZ, Ren B,
 Wang ZL, Tian ZQ (2013) Surface analysis using shell-isolated nanoparticle-enhanced
 Raman spectroscopy. Nature Protocols 8 (1):52-65.

- 535 Liu B, Han G, Zhang Z, Liu R, Jiang C, Wang S, Han M-Y (2012) Shell Thickness-
- 536 Dependent Raman Enhancement for Rapid Identification and Detection of Pesticide Residues537 at Fruit Peels. Analytical Chemistry 84 (1):255-261.
- Luo H., Huang Y., Lai K. a, Rasco A. B., Fan Y. (2016) Surface-enhanced Raman
 spectroscopy coupled with gold nanoparticles for rapid detection of phosmet and
 thiabendazole residues in apples. Food Control 68:229-235.
- Mercader JV, Esteve-Turrillas FA, Agullo C, Abad-Somovilla A, Abad-Fuentes A (2012)
 Antibody generation and immunoassay development in diverse formats for pyrimethanil
 specific and sensitive analysis. Analyst 137 (23):5672-5679.
- 544 Ortelli D, Edder P, Corvi C (2004) Multiresidue analysis of 74 pesticides in fruits and 545 vegetables by liquid chromatography-electrospray-tandem mass spectrometry. Analytica 546 Chimica Acta 520 (1-2):33-45.
- Park S, Lee SJ, Kim HG, Jeong WY, Shim J-H, El-Aty AMA, Jeong SW, Lee WS, Kim ST,
 Shin SC (2010) Residue analysis of multi-class pesticides in watermelon by LC-MS/MS.
 Journal of Separation Science 33 (4-5):493-501.
- 550 Raeppel C, Nief M, Fabritius M, Racault L, Appenzeller BM, Millet M (2011) Simultaneous
- analysis of pesticides from different chemical classes by using a derivatisation step and gas
- chromatography-mass spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography A 1218 (44):8123-8129.
- 553 Review of the existing maximum residue levels (MRLs) for pyrimethanil according to Article
- 554 12 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, EFSA Journal 2011;9(11):2454
- Rodriguez-Cabo T, Rodriguez I, Ramil M, Cela R (2011) Dispersive liquid-liquid
 microextraction using non-chlorinated, lighter than water solvents for gas chromatography
 mass spectrometry determination of fungicides in wine. Journal of Chromatography A 1218
 (38):6603-6611.
- Schluecker S (2014) Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy: Concepts and Chemical
 Applications. Angewandte Chemie-International Edition 53 (19):4756-4795.

561 Sharma RR, Singh D, Singh R (2009) Biological control of postharvest diseases of fruits and 562 vegetables by microbial antagonists: A review. Biological Control 50 (3):205-221.

563 Socrates G "Infrared and Raman Characteristic Group Frequencies: Tables and Charts",
564 Wiley ISBN: 978-0-470-09307-8.

- 565 Wold, H. (2006) Partial Least Squares. Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences,
 566 doi:10.1002/0471667196.ess1914.pub2
- Yang J, Wang Q, Zhang M, Zhang S, Zhang L (2015) An electrochemical fungicide
 pyrimethanil sensor based on carbon nanotubes/ionic-liquid construction modified electrode.
 Food Chemistry 187:1-6.
- 570 Yu C, Zhou T, Sheng K, Zeng L, Ye C, Yu T, Zheng X (2013) Effect of pyrimethanil on
- 571 Cryptococcus laurentii, Rhodosporidium paludigenum, and Rhodotorula glutinis biocontrol of
- 572 Penicillium expansum infection in pear fruit. International Journal of Food Microbiology 164573 (2-3):155-160.
- 574 Zheng J, He L (2014) Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy for the Chemical Analysis of
 575 Food. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety 13 (3):317-328.
- Zhou Y, Han L, Cheng J, Guo F, Zhi X, Hu H, Chen G (2011) Dispersive liquid-liquid
 microextraction based on the solidification of a floating organic droplet for simultaneous
 analysis of diethofencarb and pyrimethanil in apple pulp and peel. Analytical and
 Bioanalytical Chemistry 399 (5):1901-1906.
- 580 CCC software link, <u>https://www.inrim.eu/research-development/quality-life/ccc-software</u>
- 581 (last accessed 16-06-2017)
- 582 EC regulation No 396/2005, EFSA Journal 2011;9(11):2454
- 583

584 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 - UV-Vis absorption spectra of AuNPs with the mean diameter of a) 30 nm, b) 55 nm, c) 90 nm and d) 120 nm (black curves); UV-Vis spectra of AuNPs stock suspension mixed in a 2:1 ratio with pyrimethanil standard suspension 400 mg l⁻¹ at pH~3 (red curves); repetition of UV-Vis measurements after four days (green curves).

589 Figure 2 – Raman spectrum of pure Pyrimethanil with the characteristic vibrational peaks'
590 assignments.

Figure 3 – a) Normal Raman spectrum of 400 mg 1^{-1} PMT on flat gold surface; Representative SERS spectra of 400 mg 1^{-1} PMT using AuNPs with a mean diameter of b) 30 nm, c) 55 nm, d) 90 nm and e) 120 nm on a flat gold surface. Raman mapping of each PMT-AuNPs spot was created by plotting the profile intensity of the PMT peak at 997 cm⁻¹ over the scanned area with a color scale bar from blue to red.

Figure 4 – a) Normal Raman mapping of PMT contaminated region on fresh apple peel;
b) SERS mapping of PMT contaminated region on fresh apple peel after deposition of 120 nm AuNPs. The color scale bar for both a) and b) chemical images is related to the intensity of the PMT peak at 997 cm⁻¹.

Figure 5 – a) Normalized SERS spectra of 120 nm AuNPs with 5 levels of PMT standard in negative matrix pool (representative of the analyzed matrix): 0, 5, 10, 20 and 40 mg kg⁻¹;
b) Calibration curves of PMT standards in negative matrix pool obtained by plotting the normalized intensity of PMT Raman band at 997 cm⁻¹ vs. PMT concentration.

Figure 6 – PLS calibration plots: a) Cumulative Explained Variance %; b) Root Mean Square

605 Error in Calibration and in Cross Validation versus the number of PLS latent variables; c) plot

- 606 of fitted value corresponding to calibration standards (grey) and validation samples (red)
- 607 versus the true values.













Supplementary Material Click here to download Supplementary Material: Supplementary_Information_final.docx

Highlights

- A rapid and sensitive method to detect pyrimethanil on apples is presented
- Raman signals of the pesticide are enhanced by drop casting AuNPs on the fruit peel
- 120 nm gold nanoparticles provide the best SERS response for this application
- Raman mapping strategy allows to overcome inhomogeneity problems
- Quantitative calibration using Partial Least Squares provides good method stability