



## ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI RICERCA METROLOGICA Repository Istituzionale

THE NEW 5 MN HEXAPOD-SHAPED MULTICOMPONENT BUILD-UP SYSTEM FOR FORCE MEASUREMENTS

*Original*

THE NEW 5 MN HEXAPOD-SHAPED MULTICOMPONENT BUILD-UP SYSTEM FOR FORCE MEASUREMENTS / Germak, ALESSANDRO FRANCO LIDIA; Palumbo, S.; Barbato, G.. - (2017), pp. 465-471. (Intervento presentato al convegno XXV Congresso nazionale di Misure Meccaniche e Termiche tenutosi a Modena nel 14-16 settembre 2017).

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11696/56265 since: 2017-10-04T11:24:56Z

*Publisher:*

Unità GMEE dell'Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia

*Published*

DOI:

*Terms of use:*

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

*Publisher copyright*

(Article begins on next page)

Atti del

# I Forum Nazionale delle Misure

XXXIV Congresso Nazionale di Misure  
Elettriche ed Elettroniche  
XXV Congresso Nazionale di Misure  
Meccaniche e Termiche



14-16 Settembre 2017

Dipartimento di Ingegneria "E. Ferrari",  
Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia  
Modena

associazione italiana   
**gme**  
gruppo misure  
elettriche ed elettroniche



Questo volume è stato composto in  $\text{\LaTeX}$  tramite l'applicazione software sviluppata dall'Unità dell'Università di Genova e successivamente adattata e migliorata dall'Unità del Politecnico di Bari, dalle Università di Trento e Benevento e, in ultimo, dell'Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia.

ISBN 978-88-903149-9-5

Copyright© degli autori  
Tutti i diritti sono riservati  
Pubblicato a cura di Luigi Rovati e Stefano Cattini  
Modena

Finito di stampare nel mese di Settembre 2017



**UNIMORE**  
UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI  
MODENA E REGGIO EMILIA

Atti del

# I Forum Nazionale delle Misure

XXXIV Congresso Nazionale di Misure  
Elettriche ed Elettroniche  
XXV Congresso Nazionale di Misure  
Meccaniche e Termiche

Modena, 14-16 Settembre 2017

A cura di  
Luigi Rovati  
Stefano Cattini  
Università degli Studi di Modena  
e Reggio Emilia

Pubblicazione a cura dell'Unità GMEE dell'Università  
degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia

I diritti di traduzione, di memorizzazione elettronica,  
di riproduzione e di adattamento anche parziale,  
con qualsiasi mezzo, sono riservati per tutti i Paesi.

Non sono assolutamente consentite le fotocopie  
senza il permesso scritto dell'Associazione GMEE.

## THE NEW 5 MN HEXAPOD-SHAPED MULTICOMPONENT BUILD-UP SYSTEM FOR FORCE MEASUREMENTS

A. Germak<sup>(1)</sup>, S. Palumbo<sup>(1)</sup>, G. Barbato<sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup>INRIM, Strada delle Cacce 91- 10135 Torino

<sup>(2)</sup>DIGEP, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24- 10129 Torino  
mail: a.germak@inrim.it

### ABSTRACT

In the framework of the EMRP Joint Research Project SIB63 “Force” with the title “Force traceability within the meganewton range”, WP2, aiming to extend the traceability of the force measurements to the higher part of the scale, a new and innovative type of Build-Up System (BUS) force transducer, with a capacity of 5MN, has been designed and realized by INRIM.

It is composed by six Uniaxial Force Transducers (UFTs) and it has a hexapod-shape. It can be used to extend the scale from 1 MN to 5 MN with internal traceability for the vertical (axial) force and, thanks to his scheme, additionally provides measurements of transversal forces, bending and torsion moments.

In the paper, the description of the design, of the calibration procedure and of the data analysis is provided.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The new 5 MN HSM-BUS, as any other type of Build-Up System (BUS) [1], has been designed to be used as reference transducer for measuring the force generated by the primary high force machine with a maximum capacity of 10 MN. It can be calibrated before the assembling process, and this leads to enhance the internal traceability of INRiM force laboratory. It can lead not only to measure forces 5 times higher than the nominal value of a the single uniaxial force transducers, but gives also information about the other components of the force vector and of the moment vector. These particular behaviors denote the HSM-BUS as the perfect reference for force calibrations under an hydraulic press. In fact, the HSM-BUS can be entirely calibrated inside the laboratory, and can be also used to align the force transducer under calibration with the vertical force and not only with the geometric center of the Force Standard Machine (FSM) as usually done. Another important characteristic of the HSM-BUS can be found in its calibration, since it is sufficient to calibrate the single Uniaxial Force Transducers (UFTs) and the system geometry [2].

### 2. DESIGN

The HSM-BUS uses six UFTs in order to multiply five times the capacity of the system (considering the mounting angles). This structure has also the advantage to be a multi-component force transducer (MFT), i.e. it can measure not only the principal axial force ( $F_z$ ), but also all force vectors and moment ones [3]. The additional information on spurious component can be used to get a better accuracy on the  $F_z$  measurements. The 5 MN hexapod-shaped MFT is designed to work with a nominal force value of 5 MN, using 6 UFTs with maximum load of 1 MN, in order to be calibrated using the 1MN Deadweight Standard Force Machine at INRiM.

**UFT:** In a MFT with hexapod geometry are used six UFTs (Fig. 1), disposed two by two, to recreate an hexapod structure, realizing a pseudo-isostatic structure.

Another peculiarity of the hexapod-shape MFT, is that its UFTs work in traction, and not in compression as in a generic BUS. A further difference, is the inclination of the UFTs respect the horizontal, that leads to the necessity to continually re-orient the single UFT in order to avoid spurious components and flex-traction effects. This re-orientation movement can be obtained using a couple of elastic hinges at both ends of every UFTs. But, due to dimensional limitations, the using of elastic hinges is not possible, and it was used spherical joints (Fig. 2).



Fig. 1 Structure of the uniaxial force transducer (UFT)



Fig. 2 Spherical joint

**System geometry:** An hexapod-shaped structure is considered a structure having six feet; the ideal structure consists in three pair of each, each one of them create a triangle. The system geometry of the new 5 MN MFT can be briefly outlined with the views of the XY-plane and of XZ-plane. In the first view (left of Figure 3) we can see the distribution of the UFTs (numbered from 1 to 6) on the plates, the radius  $r$  and the angle  $\gamma$  (remembering that angle  $\delta$  is complementary to it). In the second view (right part of Figure 3), it is clear the inclination of every UFTs with the horizontal, and are shown the two functional angles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

As it can be seen in Figure 3, due to dimensional limits, it was not possible to connect two UFTs into the same point; so, instead of a triangle, each pair of UFTs create a trapezoid.




Fig. 3 The geometry of MFT of the 5 MN HSM-BU

**HSM-BUS desing:** The 5 MN hexapod-shaped MFT (Fig. 4) is designed to work with a nominal force value of 5 MN, using 6 UFTs with maximum load of 1 MN, in order to be calibrated using the 1MN Deadweight Standard Force Machine at INRiM.

Since the UFTs must work in traction, and not in compression as the MFT, it was necessary to create an inversion frame, in order to put in traction the UFTs. Such a structure enables measuring three force components (transversal,  $F_x$  and  $F_y$ , and axial,  $F_z$ ), and three moment components, (tilting,  $M_x$  and  $M_y$ , and torsion,  $M_z$ ), only combining the signal outputs of the UFTs.




Fig. 4 The 5 MN HSM-BUS

### 3. CALIBRATION PROCEDURE

**UFT calibration:** The calibration of the single UFTs is an important contribution to the uncertainty budget of the MFT. The calibration procedure is conform to the UNI EN ISO 376 [4] and can be summarized as:

- Two loading phases at initial position (indicated as  $0^\circ$ ), only with incremental force values
- Two other loading phases with relative rotation of the position (approximately  $120^\circ$  and  $240^\circ$ ) with incremented and decremented force values

The values obtained are then evaluated fitting the calibration data with a linear regression with equation (1):

$$y = a + b \times x + c \times x^2 + d \times x^3 \quad (1)$$

Every single UFTs has its respective fitting equation that is used to convert the signal output into a force signal, and are resumed in Table 1.



Tab.1 UFT fitting equations

UFT	a	b	c	d
1	0.0108	499.6504	-0.9076	-0.1541
2	0.0003	499.2770	-1.1627	-0.0269
3	-0.0087	500.8994	-1.2907	0.0101
4	-0.0098	500.6190	-1.2488	-0.0090
5	-0.0104	500.5718	-1.3007	0.0172
6	-0.0234	500.1856	-1.0986	-0.0547

**Geometry calibration:** The calibration of the system geometry must be focused on the evaluation, with the lower possible uncertainty, of the two functional angle,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Since it would be not so easy to evaluate directly the magnitude of these angles, it is possible to evaluate the two functional angles starting from the analysis of the system geometry of the HSM-BUS, below represented: As can be seen, each pair of UFTs realize an ideal trapezoid, characterized by these geometrical elements  $s$ ,  $t$  and  $d$ , as indicated in the Figure 5 and Table 2.




Fig. 5 System geometry of the HSM-BUS

Tab.1 Geometrical elements of the ideal trapezoid of Figure 5

Side	Symbol	Value /mm
upper base	$s$	467,06
lower base	$t$	166,00
diagonal	$d$	326,00

The respective values of the geometrical elements are taken from the technical drawings. Using this type of geometry, it is possible to define the two functional angles as:

$$\alpha = 2 \cdot \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{s-t}{2d} \right)$$

$$\beta = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{s-t}{2d} \right) \quad (2)$$

The results are summarized in Table 3.

**Tab.2** nominal values of the functional angles


Angles	Radiant	Degrees
$\alpha$	$9,60 \times 10^{-1}$	$55,0^\circ$
$\beta$	$1,09 \times 10^0$	$62,5^\circ$

**4. DATA COMPARISON**

At the end of the work, the new 5 MN HSM-BUS was compared with the 5 MN national reference UFT under the hydraulic press following the UNI EN ISO-376 [4] procedure. The mean relative difference is equal to  $-4.4 \times 10^{-3}$ . Since the results does not fit the level of uncertainty desired, it is necessary to study the re-orientation of the cell under load. During the preliminary study of HSM-BUS design [1], it was evaluated the variations of the system geometry with a FEM simulation. It was obtained that the functional angle  $\alpha$  should became:

$$\alpha_{sim} = \alpha_{theor} - \Delta\alpha = \alpha_{theor} - (a + b \cdot F_{nom}) \tag{3}$$

Following, data of the HSM-BUS have been corrected by the simulated variation of the functional angle and compared with the 5 MN national reference UFT. Results of corrected and uncorrected data are represented in Figure 6.



**Fig. 6** Relative difference between the new 5 MN HSM-BUS and the 5 MN national reference UFT

The mean relative difference is equal to  $-4.4E-03$ . Since the results does not fit the level of uncertainty desired, it is necessary to study the re-orientation of the cell under load. During the preliminary study of HSM-BUS design [2], it was evaluated the variations of the system geometry with a FEM simulation. It was obtained that the functional angle  $\alpha$  should became:

$$\alpha_{sim} = \alpha_{theor} - \Delta\alpha = \alpha_{theor} - (a + b \cdot F_{nom}) \tag{4}$$

Where the factor  $\Delta\alpha$  is described by Table 4.

**Tab.3** Factor  $\Delta\alpha$  parameters

Parameter	Value /°
a	$9.4534 \times 10^{-3}$
b	$1.201 \times 10^{-5}$

The data of the HSM-BUS corrected by the simulated variation of the functional angle compared with the 5 MN national reference UFT are represented in Figure 7.




Fig. 1 Relative difference between the HSM-BUS with correction and the 5 MN national reference UFT

The mean relative difference is equal to  $-4.2 \times 10^{-3}$ . This result shows that the variation of the system geometry under load may affect the force values and cannot be neglected. On the other hands, the simulation is not sufficient to fit the desired level of uncertainty, and these variations have to be measured.

Additionally, a standard calibration of the HSM-BUS have been carried out according to the UNI EN ISO-376 standards [4] and the relative errors on repeatability,  $b$ , and reproducibility,  $b'$ , as well the relative expanded uncertainty (confidence level of 95%),  $W_{nf}$ , have been evaluated as reported in Table 5.


The HSM-BUS, seen as a force transducer, does not exceed the limit of the class 0.5, the same of the actual national standards.

Tab. 5 Data comparison with correction

$F$ / kN	$b$ / %	$b'$ / %	$W_{nf}$ / %
500	0.100	0.048	0.104
1000	0.045	0.031	0.071
1500	0.083	0.032	0.086
2000	0.087	0.026	0.085
2500	0.073	0.029	0.080
3000	0.067	0.030	0.078
3500	0.055	0.026	0.070
4000	0.051	0.024	0.068
4500	0.041	0.023	0.064
5000	0.035	0.025	0.063

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

The results coming from a first comparison between the new 5 MN HSM-BUS and the 5 MN national reference UFT shows that it is important to concentrate on the variation of the system geometry under load, with particular attention to the functional angle  $\alpha$  due to the reorientation of the UFT's under the load. For this reason, it has been realized a new UFT with a MEMS [5] integrated, in order to study the angle with the horizontal of this UFT under load, as shown in Figure 8.



**Fig. 8** The UFT with integrated MEMS

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The activity of these research has been carried out in the framework of the EMRP Joint Research Project SIB63 “Force” with the title “Force traceability within the meganewton range”, WP2 [6].



#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Ferrero, C. Marinari, E. Martino, “Development and metrological characterisation of a build-up force standard up to 3 MN”, XVII IMEKO World Congress, Dubrovnik, Croatia, Sept. 2003.
- [2] Palumbo, A. Germak, S. Desogus, F. Mazzoleni, G. Barbato, “Design and metrological evaluation of the new 5 MN hexapod-shaped multicomponent build-up system”, Metrologia 53 (2016) 956–964.
- [3] S. Desogus, A. Germak, F. Mazzoleni, D. Quagliotti, G. Barbato, A. Barbieri, G. Bigolin, C. Bin, “Developing multicomponent force transducers at INRIM”, In Proceedings of the IMEKO 2010 TC3, TC5 and TC22 Conferences, Metrology in Modern Context, November 22-25, 2010, Pattaya, Chonburi, Thailand, pp 17-19.
- [4] UNI EN ISO 376, “Calibration of force-proving instruments used for the verification of uniaxial testing machines”, Jun. 1999.
- [5] A. Schiavi, F. Mazzoleni, A. Germak, “Simultaneous 3-axis MEMS accelerometer primary calibration: description of the test-rig and measurements”, XXI IMEKO World Congress, Prague, Czech Republic, Sept. 2015.
- [6] R. Kumme, F.Tegtmeier, D. Röske, A. Barthel, A. Germak and P. Averlant, “Force traceability within the meganewton range”, XXII IMEKO World Congress, Cape Town, Republic of South Africa, Feb. 2014.