



ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI RICERCA METROLOGICA Repository Istituzionale

Comment on “Physics without determinism: Alternative interpretations of classical physics”

This is the author's submitted version of the contribution published as:

Original

Comment on “Physics without determinism: Alternative interpretations of classical physics” / Callegaro, Luca; Pennechi, Francesca; Bich, Walter. - In: PHYSICAL REVIEW A. - ISSN 2469-9926. - 102:3(2020), p. 036201. [10.1103/PhysRevA.102.036201]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11696/65130 since: 2021-01-16T17:38:13Z

Publisher:

APS

Published

DOI:10.1103/PhysRevA.102.036201

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

American Physical Society (APS)

Copyright © American Physical Society (APS)

(Article begins on next page)

**Comment on “Physics without determinism:
Alternative interpretations of classical physics”,
Phys. Rev. A, 100:062107, Dec 2019**

Luca Callegaro, Francesca Pennechi and Walter Bich

INRIM - Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca Metrologica

Strada delle Cacce, 91 – 10135 Torino, Italy

Abstract

The paper “Physics without determinism: Alternative interpretations of classical physics” [Phys. Rev. A, 100:062107, Dec 2019] defines *finite information quantities* (FIQ). A FIQ expresses the available information about the value of a physical quantity. We show that a change in the measurement unit does not preserve the information carried by a FIQ, and therefore that the definition provided in the paper is not complete.

The expression of the state of knowledge about a measurand as a probability distribution (or some summary of it, such as its mean and standard deviation) is the conventional approach for expressing a measurement result [1–4]. However, it does not intuitively parallel the much more immediate concepts of “certain” and “uncertain digits” that every experimentalist feels when taking note of a measurement outcome in the lab notebook.

In [5], Del Santo and Gisin introduce the concept of *finite information quantities* (FIQ). A FIQ ranging in the interval $[0, 1]$ is expressed by the binary number $Q = 0.Q_1Q_2Q_3\dots$, where the individual bits Q_k are Bernoulli random variables having propensities q_k for the realisation of the case $Q_k = 1$. A specific FIQ Q is thus defined by the vector of propensities $\mathbf{q} = [q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k, \dots, q_M, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots]$ of its bits Q_k ; it is assumed that $q_k = \frac{1}{2}$ for $k > M$, *i.e.*, all bits beyond position M have a 50 % propensity of being either 0 or 1 and therefore carry no information. Only a finite number M of propensities are needed to specify Q .

The FIQ concept is very appealing and it is tempting to adopt it to express the value and uncertainty of a quantity as an alternative to probability distributions. However, for the concept of FIQ to become a practical alternative to the current way of representing the state of knowledge about a quantity, it is mandatory that calculations with them be possible and, hopefully, simple.

Consider for example the expression of the value of a quantity, traditionally written as $Q = \{Q\}[U]$, where $\{Q\}$ is the numerical value and $[U]$ is the unit. Changing the unit to $U' = U/L$, L being a constant, implies $Q = \{Q'\}[U']$, with $\{Q'\} = L\{Q\}$. So, even such an elementary transformation as the change of measurement unit implies the multiplication of a FIQ by a constant.

Indeed, the FIQ definition suggests that it is possible to identify simple, practical calculation rules operating on the finite (and, intuitively, small) number of indeterminate bits and their propensities; rules suitable to be converted in efficient computation algorithms.

The arithmetic relevant to a unit change (Appendix A) shows that the transformation $Q' = LQ$ generates bits Q'_k of Q' which are not mutually independent even if the original Q_k bits are independent. Therefore, expressing Q' by providing only the propensities q'_k of its individual bits deletes some of the original information.

Random variables Q with independent binary digits Q_k have been considered in mathematical literature [6–8]. In general, Q has a ‘reasonable’ probability density function (pdf) only if the q_k satisfy strict conditions, and in that case the pdf is necessarily an exponential [6]; otherwise, it becomes a fractal [7], hence difficult to associate with a physical quantity.

In conclusion, it appears that a specification of the state of knowledge about a quantity Q by means of a FIQ should also include information on the dependencies among the Q_k , and therefore that, although the FIQ concept might be physically sound and useful, its definition as given in [5] is not complete, and deserves further development.

Appendix A: Minimal FIQ maths

A FIQ arithmetics can be established by generalizing operations on binary numbers. The sum $S = Q + R = 0.S_1S_2S_3\dots$ of two FIQs, $Q = 0.Q_1Q_2Q_3\dots$ and $R = 0.R_1R_2R_3\dots$, is given by the full adder rule, Tab. I.

Q_k	R_k	C_{k+1}	S_k	C_k
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	0
0	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1

TABLE I. Binary full adder truth table. C_k is the carry bit.

If \mathbf{q} is the vector of propensities associated with Q , and \mathbf{r} with R , then under the as-

sumption of independence of q_k and r_k , the propensity s_k of each sum bit S_k can be written as the sum of the four propensities of the $S_k = 1$ cases in Tab. I:

$$\begin{aligned}
s_k &= (1 - q_k)(1 - r_k)c_{k+1} + (1 - q_k)r_k(1 - c_{k+1}) \\
&\quad + q_k(1 - r_k)(1 - c_{k+1}) + q_k r_k c_{k+1} \\
&= q_k + r_k + c_{k+1} \\
&\quad - 2(q_k r_k + q_k c_{k+1} + r_k c_{k+1}) + 4q_k r_k c_{k+1}
\end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

and similarly the propensity c_k of the carry bit C_k is

$$c_k = q_k r_k + q_k c_{k+1} + r_k c_{k+1} - 2q_k r_k c_{k+1} \tag{A2}$$

For example for the case $c_{k+1} = \frac{1}{2}$, we have $s_k = \frac{1}{2}$ and $c_k = \frac{1}{2}(q_k + r_k)$: the information provided by q_k and r_k is transferred, through the carry bit C_k , to bit S_{k-1} .

Multiplication by a deterministic constant L can be performed by repeated shifting and addition. Table II gives a simple example. If $P = LQ$, where $\mathbf{q} = [0, 0, q_3, \frac{1}{2} \dots]$ and

	0.	0	0	Q_3	...
\times			1	1	
	0.	0	0	Q_3	...
$+ 0.$	0	Q_3	Q_4	...	
$= 0.$	P_1	P_2	P_3	...	

TABLE II. Multiplication table, $P = LQ$ where $Q = 0.0Q_2Q_3 \dots$ and $L = (11)_2 = (3)_{10}$.

$L = (11)_2 = (3)_{10}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1 &= \frac{1}{2}q_3^2 + \frac{1}{4}q_3, \\
p_2 &= q_3 - q_3^2 + \frac{1}{4}, \\
p_3 &= \frac{1}{2}, \quad \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

The propensity of occurrence of specific digit couples can also be computed. For example, denoting as p_{12} the propensity of the event $\{P_1 = 1, P_2 = 1\}$ we have $p_{12} = 0$ (to have $P_1 = 1$, it should occur that $Q_3 = 1$ and $C_3 = 1$ at the same time, hence $C_2 = 1$. However,

the case $\{Q_3 = 1, C_3 = 1\}$ always generates $P_2 = 0$, so $\{P_1 = 1, P_2 = 1\}$ is never possible). Since $p_{12} = 0 \neq p_1 p_2$, bits P_1 and P_2 are not independent.

-
- [1] BIPM, IEC, IFCC, ILAC, ISO, IUPAC, IUPAP, and OIML. *International Vocabulary of Metrology, JCGM 200:2012*. BIPM, 2012.
 - [2] BIPM, IEC, IFCC, ILAC, ISO, IUPAC, IUPAP, and OIML. *Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement, JCGM 100:2008, GUM 1995 with minor corrections*. BIPM, 2008.
 - [3] BIPM, IEC, IFCC, ILAC, ISO, IUPAC, IUPAP, and OIML. *Supplement 1 to the ‘Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement’ – Propagation of distributions using a Monte Carlo method, JCGM 101:2008*. BIPM, 2008.
 - [4] BIPM, IEC, IFCC, ILAC, ISO, IUPAC, IUPAP, and OIML. *Supplement 2 to the ‘Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement’ – Extension to any number of output quantities, JCGM 102:2011*. BIPM, 2011.
 - [5] Flavio Del Santo and Nicolas Gisin. Physics without determinism: Alternative interpretations of classical physics. *Phys. Rev. A*, 100:062107, Dec 2019.
 - [6] G. Marsaglia. Random variables with independent binary digits. *Ann. Math. Stats.*, 42(6):1922–1929, 1971.
 - [7] N. V. Pratsevityi and G. M. Torbin. Superfractality of the set of numbers having no frequency of n -adic digits, and fractal probability distributions. *J. Ukr. Math.*, 47:1113–1118, 1995.
 - [8] S. Albeverio, Ya. Goncharenko, M. Pratsiovytyi, and G. Torbin. Convolutions of distributions of random variables with independent binary digits. *Random Oper. Stochastic Eq.*, 15(1):89–104, 2007.